arXiv:1209.5457v1 [math.AG] 24 Sep 2012

SURFACE WITH INVOLUTION AND PRYM CONSTRUCTIONS

MINGMIN SHEN

Abstract. An involution on a surface induces involutions on the cohomology, Chow group and Brauer group of the surface. We give a detailed study of those actions. We show that the odd part of these groups can be used to describe the geometry of cubic fourfolds and conic bundles over $\mathbb{P}^3$.

1. Introduction

When a curve C admits an involution $\sigma : C \to C$, its Jacobian $J(C)$ splits into the even part and the odd part. When σ has no fixed point or exactly two fixed points, the odd part $\mathrm{Pr}(C,\sigma) = \mathrm{Im}\{\sigma - 1 : J(C) \to J(C)\}$ admits a natural principal polarization. The resulting principally polarized abelian variety is defined to be the *Prym variety* of C with the involuation σ. See [7] for more details. Among many interesting applications, the theory was used to characterize the intermediate Jacobian of certain types of Fano threefolds and quadrics bundles (see, for example, [2, 8, 9, 3]). Together with the geometry of the theta divisor, such a description was used to study various rationality questions.

In this paper, we study the case where the curve is replaced by a surface. Throughout the paper, we will be working over the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers. Let S be a smooth projective surface with $\mathrm{H}_1(S,\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and $\sigma : S \to S$ an involution with only isolated fixed points. Then σ induces involutions on the cohomology $\mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})$, the Chow group $\mathrm{A}_0(S)$ of 0-cycles with degree zero and the Brauer group $\mathrm{Br}(S)$.

Definition 1.1 (see [13]). Let Λ be an abelian group together with an involution $\sigma : \Lambda \to \Lambda$, then we define the *Prym part* of Λ to be $\mathrm{Pr}(\Lambda,\sigma) = \mathrm{Im}\{\sigma - 1 : \Lambda \to \Lambda\}$.

With the above defition we can talk about the Prym part of the cohomology, Chow group and Brauer group of S. For the cohomology group, we usually have a geometrically defined submodule $M \subset \mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})$ of algebraic classes and take the Prym part of its orthogonal complement $M^\perp$. This should be viewed as the Prym part of "primitive cohomology". Then this Prym construction can be used to describe the Hodge structure, Chow group and the "second Brauer groups", $\mathrm{Br}_2(X)$ (see Definition 3.11), of cubic fourfolds and conic bundles. To be more precise, if $X \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ is a smooth cubic fourfold, then we take $S = S_l$ to be the surface of lines meeting a given general line $l \subset X$. Then there is a natural involution σ on S induced by taking the residue of two intersection lines. If $f : X \to B = \mathbb{P}^3$ is a standard conic bundle, then we take S to be the surface of lines in broken

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14J99, 14C15, 14F22.

Key words and phrases. Hodge structure, Chow group, Brauer group, cubic fourfold, conic bundle.

The author is supported by Simons Foundation as a Simons Postdoctoral Fellow.

conics. We assume that S is smooth projective with $\mathrm{H}_1(S,\mathbb{Z})=0$. Then there is again an involution σ which is induced by switching the two lines in a broken conic. With the technical assumption that the degree of the degeneration divisor is odd in the second case, we show that the Hodge structure and Chow group of X can be recovered as the Prym part of the corresponding structures of the surface S. The group $\mathrm{Br}_2(X)$ can also be recovered in generic cases. When X is special, there might be a 2-torsion subgroup K of $\mathrm{Br}_2(X)$ which does not appear in the Prym part of the Brauer group of S. In the case when X is a cubic fourfold, this group K is independent of the choice of the general line $l\subset X$. So far we do not have an example where the group K is nontrivial.

The plan of this article is as follows. In Section 2, we give a detailed study of the action of σ on $\mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})$. The main results are summarized in Theorem 2.7 and Theorem 2.8. It turns out that the structure of this action is quite sensitive to whether σ has fixed points or not. In section 3, we study the Prym part of the cohomology, Chow group and Brauer group of S. Sections 4 and 5 are devoted the application to the case of cubic fourfolds. Section 6 studies the case of conic bundles over $\mathbb{P}^3$.

Conventions and notations. (1) Let Λ be an integral Hodge structure of weight $2k$. Then we use $\mathrm{Hdg}(\Lambda)=\Lambda^{k,k}\cap\Lambda$ to denote the group of integral Hodge classes. If Λ comes from geometry, we also use $\mathrm{Alg}(\Lambda)\subset\mathrm{Hdg}(\Lambda)$ to denote the subgroup of algebraic classes. When Λ is polarized (which boils down to an integral symmetric bilinear form), we define $\Lambda_{\mathrm{tr}}\subset\Lambda$ to be the orthogonal complement of $\mathrm{Hdg}^{2k}(\Lambda)$. We also use $T(\Lambda)$ to denote the quotient of Λ by $\mathrm{Hdg}(\Lambda)$. If $\Lambda=\mathrm{H}^{2k}(Y,\mathbb{Z})/\mathrm{tor}$ comes from geometry, we also use $\mathrm{Hdg}^{2k}(Y)$, $\mathrm{Alg}^{2k}(Y)$ and $T^{2k}(Y)$ to denote $\mathrm{Hdg}(\Lambda)$, $\mathrm{Alg}(\Lambda)$ and $T(\Lambda)$ respectively. (2) Throughout this paper, we use $G=\{1,\sigma\}$ to denote the cyclic group of order 2. For any abelian group A, we use A_+ to denote the G-module A on which σ acts as $+1$ and A_- to denote the G-module A on which σ acts as -1. (3) Let V be a vector space of dimension n, and $1\leq r_1<\ldots<r_m<n$ a sequence of increasing integers. We use $G(r_1,\ldots,r_m,V)$ to be the flag variety parameterizing flags $V_1\subset\cdots\subset V_m\subset V$ with $\dim V_i=r_i$. If $m=1$ and $r_1=1$, then we use $\mathbb{P}(V)$ to denote $G(1,V)$. If we replace V by a vector bundle $\mathscr{E}$, then we define the relative flag varieties in a similar way. (4) For any morphism $f:Y\to X$ between smooth varieties which is birational onto image, we define the normal bundle $\mathscr{N}_{Y/X}$ of Y in X to be the cokernel of the homomorphism $df:T_Y\to f^*T_X$.

2. Surface with involution

In this section, we fix S to be a smooth projective surface over $\mathbb{C}$. Assume that there is an involution $\sigma:S\to S$ with finitely many isolated fixed points $x_1,\ldots,x_r\in S$. This section is devoted to the study of the action of σ on the cohomology group $H^2(S,\mathbb{Z})$.

Let $Y=S/\sigma$ be the quotient. Then Y is a projective surface with finitely many ordinary double points $y_1,\ldots,y_r\in Y$. Let $\pi:S\to Y$ be the natural morphism of degree 2. We use $\tilde{S}$ to denote the blow up of S at the points $x_1,\ldots,x_r$ with E_i' being the corresponding exceptional curves. We use $\tilde{Y}$ to denote the minimal resolution of Y with E_i being the exceptional divisors. Note that the E_i's are (-2)-curves on $\tilde{Y}$. Then σ induces an involution, still denoted by σ, on $\tilde{S}$ with all points in the E_i''s being fixed. One also easily sees that $\tilde{Y}$ is naturally the quotient of $\tilde{S}$ by the action of σ. If we use $\tilde{\pi}:\tilde{S}\to\tilde{Y}$ to denote the quotient map, then we have the following commutative diagram,

$$\begin{tikzcd}\tilde{S} \arrow[r, "g"] \arrow[d, "\tilde{\pi}"'] & S \arrow[d, "\pi"] \\ \tilde{Y} \arrow[r, "f"] & Y\end{tikzcd}$$

where f and g are the blow-up's.

On a complex analytic space X, we use $\mathcal{H}_p(X)$ to denote the sheaf associated to

$$U \longmapsto \mathrm{H}_p(X, X - U).$$

Note that the stalk of $\mathcal{H}_p(X)$ at smooth point $x \in X$ is, by excision, equal to $\mathrm{H}_p(B, \partial B)$ where $B \subset X$ is a small closed ball centered at x. Hence $\mathcal{H}_p(X)$, for $p \neq 2 \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X$, is supported on the singular locus of X.

Lemma 2.1. *Let Y be the quotient of S by σ as above, then*
(i) $\mathcal{H}_p(Y) = 0$, for $p \neq 2, 4$.
(ii) $\mathcal{H}_2(Y)$ is the skyscraper sheaf supported on y_i's with $\mathcal{H}_2(Y)_{y_i} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.
(iii) $\mathcal{H}_4(Y)$ is the constant sheave isomorphic to $\mathrm{H}_4(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. We know that $\mathcal{H}_p(Y)$ is zero at smooth points of Y for $p \neq 4$. By assumption, we can embed Y in some projective space. Let $B_i \subset Y$ be the intersection of Y and a small closed ball (in the ambient projective space) centered at y_i. By construction, B_i is contractible. By excision, we have isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}_p(Y)_{y_i} \cong \mathrm{H}_p(B_i, \partial B_i)$$

Consider the long exact sequence associated to the pair $(B_i, \partial B_i)$,

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_p(\partial B_i) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_p(B_i) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_p(B_i, \partial B_i) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{p-1}(\partial B_i) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

Since B_i is contractible, we have $\mathrm{H}_p(B_i) = 0$ for $p \neq 0$ and $\mathrm{H}_0(B_i) = \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that

$$\mathrm{H}_p(B_i, \partial B_i) = \mathrm{H}_{p-1}(\partial B_i), \quad p = 2, 3, 4$$

and we also have $\mathrm{H}_p(B_i, \partial B_i) = 0$ for $p = 0, 1$. Let $\tilde{B}_i \subset \tilde{Y}$ be the inverse image of B_i. Then $\tilde{B}_i$ can be thought of as a tubular neighbourhood of $E_i \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ in $\tilde{Y}$. Then $\partial B_i = \partial \tilde{B}_i$ is homeomorphic to the unit circle bundle over E_i sitting inside $\mathscr{N}_{E_i/\tilde{Y}} \cong \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2)$ (equipped with some hermitian metric). Hence ∂B_i can be viewed as a circle bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1$ whose Euler class $e_i = -2 \in \mathrm{H}^2(E_i, \mathbb{Z})$. Consider the associated Gysin sequence

$$0 = \mathrm{H}^1(E_i) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(\partial B_i) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(E_i) \xrightarrow{\cup e_i} \mathrm{H}^2(E_i) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^2(\partial B_i) \longrightarrow 0$$

One easily finds $\mathrm{H}^1(\partial B_i) = 0$ and $\mathrm{H}^2(\partial B_i) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. By Poincaré duality, we get

$$\mathrm{H}_p(\partial B_i) = \begin{cases} 0, & p = 2 \\ \mathbb{Z}, & p = 0, 3 \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, & p = 1 \end{cases}$$

Hence we have

$$\mathcal{H}_p(Y)_{y_i} = \begin{cases} 0, & p = 0, 1, 3 \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, & p = 2 \\ \mathbb{Z}, & p = 4 \end{cases}$$

The lemma follows easily from this computation. ☐

On a complex analytic space X, we have Zeeman's spectral sequence

$$E^2_{p,q} = \mathrm{H}^q(X, \mathcal{H}_p(X)) \Longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{p-q}(X, \mathbb{Z})$$

which measures the failure of Poincaré duality, see [17]. The differential d^r goes as follows

$$d^r : E^r_{p,q} \longrightarrow E^r_{p+r-1,q+r}$$

The convergence means that there is a filtration

$$H_s(X) = F^0_s \supseteq F^1_s \supseteq \cdots \supseteq F^{n-s}_s \supseteq 0, \quad n = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$$

such that $E^\infty_{s+q,q} \cong F^q_s/F^{q+1}_s$.

We apply Zeeman's spectral sequence to the singular surface Y, and note that $E^2_{p,q} = 0$ unless $p = 4$ or $(p, q) = (2, 0)$. This means that $E^3 = E^2$ and we have the only nontrivial differential map

$$d^3 : \mathrm{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{H}_2(Y)) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^r \to \mathrm{H}^3(Y, \mathbb{Z})$$

We set

$$M = \ker(d^3), \qquad N = \mathrm{im}(d^3). \tag{1}$$

Then from the spectral sequence, we get the following

Proposition 2.2. *Let Y be a projective surface with only isolated ordinary double points $y_1, \ldots, y_r \in Y$ as above. Then the following are true.*
(i) $\cap[Y] : \mathrm{H}^i(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{H}_{4-i}(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ is an isomorphism for $i = 0, 1, 4$.
(ii) There is an exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^2(Y) \arrow[r, "\cap[Y]"] & \mathrm{H}_2(Y) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{H}_2(Y)) \arrow[r, "d^3"] & \mathrm{H}^3(Y) \arrow[r, "\cap[Y]"] & \mathrm{H}_1(Y) \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

Remark 2.3. If we split the above exact sequence in (ii), we get the following short exact sequences,

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^2(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r, "\cap[Y]"] & \mathrm{H}_2(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] & M \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd} \tag{2}$$

and

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & N \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^3(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r, "\cap[Y]"] & \mathrm{H}_1(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd} \tag{3}$$

Consider the Leray spectral sequence associated to the resolution $f : \tilde{Y} \to Y$, i.e.

$$E_2^{p,q} = \mathrm{H}^p(Y, R^q f_* \mathbb{Z}) \Longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{p+q}(\tilde{Y}, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Note that

$$R^q f_* \mathbb{Z} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}, & q = 0; \\ 0, & q = 1; \\ \oplus_{i=1}^r \mathrm{H}^2(E_i, \mathbb{Z})_{y_i}, & q = 2. \end{cases}$$

From this we get that

$$f^* : \mathrm{H}^i(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{H}^i(\tilde{Y}, \mathbb{Z}) \tag{4}$$

is an isomorphism for $i = 0, 1, 4$. There is also an exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^2(Y) \arrow[r, "f^*"] & \mathrm{H}^2(\tilde{Y}) \arrow[r] & \oplus_{i=1}^r \mathrm{H}^2(E_i, \mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r, "\alpha"] & \mathrm{H}^3(Y) \arrow[r, "f^*"] & \mathrm{H}^3(\tilde{Y}) \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

This sequence is compatible with the one in (ii) of Proposition 2.2 in the following sense. Using Poincaré duality on $\tilde{Y}$, we can define

$$f_* : \mathrm{H}^i(\tilde{Y}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathrm{H}_{4-i}(\tilde{Y}, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{H}_{4-i}(Y, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Then $f_* f^* = \cap[Y] : \mathrm{H}^i(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{H}_{4-i}(Y, \mathbb{Z})$. If we identify $\mathrm{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{H}_2(Y))$ with $\oplus_{r=1}^r \mathrm{H}^2(\partial B_i, \mathbb{Z})$ as in the proof of Lemma 2.1, then we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^2(Y) \arrow[r, "f^*"] \arrow[d, equal] & \mathrm{H}^2(\tilde{Y}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "f_*"] & \oplus_{i=1}^r \mathrm{H}^2(E_i, \mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, "\beta"] & \mathrm{H}^3(Y) \arrow[r, "f^*"] \arrow[d, equal] & \mathrm{H}^3(\tilde{Y}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "f_*"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^2(Y) \arrow[r, "\cap[Y]"] & \mathrm{H}_2(Y) \arrow[r] & \oplus_{i=1}^r \mathrm{H}^2(\partial B_i, \mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r, "d^3"] & \mathrm{H}^3(Y) \arrow[r, "\cap[Y]"] & \mathrm{H}_1(Y) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here the homomorphism β is nothing but the pull back induced from the circle bundle structure $\partial B_i \to E_i$. The Gysin sequence tells us that $\ker(\beta)$ is generated by the Euler classes $e_i \in \mathrm{H}^2(E_i, \mathbb{Z})$. By diagram chasing, we easily get that

$$f_* : \mathrm{H}^2(\tilde{Y}, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{H}_2(Y, \mathbb{Z})$$

is surjective and

$$f_* : \mathrm{H}^3(\tilde{Y}, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{H}_1(Y, \mathbb{Z})$$

is isomorphism. Then it follows that

$$N \cong \mathrm{im}(\alpha). \tag{5}$$

The surface S can be viewed as a space with G-action, where $G = \{1, \sigma\}$ is the cyclic group of order 2. On the category of G-sheaves on S, we have the G-invariant global section functor $\Gamma^G(\mathscr{F}) = \Gamma(S, \mathscr{F})^G$. The right derived functor of $R^p(\Gamma^G)(\mathscr{F})$ is also denoted by $\mathrm{H}^p(G; S, \mathscr{F})$. Then we have two natural spectral sequences attached to this situation,

$${}_I E_2^{p,q} = \mathrm{H}^p(Y, R^q(\pi_*^G)\mathscr{F}) \Longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{p+q}(G; S, \mathscr{F}) \tag{6}$$

and

$${}_{II} E_2^{p,q} = \mathrm{H}^p(G, \mathrm{H}^q(S, \mathscr{F})) \Longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{p+q}(G; S, \mathscr{F}) \tag{7}$$

See chapter V of [6] for more details. We apply the first spectral sequence to the case $\mathscr{F} = \mathbb{Z}$, and note that

$$R^q(\pi_*^G)\mathbb{Z} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}, & q = 0; \\ \oplus_{i=1}^r \mathrm{H}^q(G, \mathbb{Z}_{x_i})_{y_i}, & q > 0 \text{ even}; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

It follows that the only nontrivial differential map at the ${}_I E_2$ page is

$$d_2 : \oplus_{i=1}^r \mathrm{H}^2(G, \mathbb{Z}_{x_i}) \to \mathrm{H}^3(Y, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Lemma 2.4. *(i) There is a natural isomorphism* $\mathrm{H}^2(G, \mathbb{Z}_{x_i}) \cong \mathcal{H}_2(Y)_{y_i}$ *such that the differential map* d_2 *is identified with* d^3 *in Zeeman's spectral sequence.*

(ii) $\mathrm{H}^i(Y,\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathrm{H}^i(G;S,\mathbb{Z})$ *for* $i=0,1$.
(iii) We have the following short exact sequences

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^4(Y,\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^4(G;S,\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] & \oplus\mathrm{H}^4(G,\mathbb{Z}_{x_i}) \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^2(Y,\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^2(G;S,\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] & M \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & N \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^3(Y,\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^3(G;S,\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

(iv) $\mathrm{H}^i(G;S,\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathrm{H}_{4-i}(Y,\mathbb{Z})$ *for* $i=0,1,2,3$.

Proof. (i) Let B_i be the intersection of Y and a small closed ball centered at y_i as before. Let $B_i' \subset S$ be the inverse of B_i. Then B_i' is small neighbourhood of $x_i \in S$ and B_i' homeomorphic to a 4-dimensional ball. The restriction of π to $\partial B_i'$ gives a covering map, $\pi_i : \partial B_i' = S^3 \to \partial B_i$, of degree 2. The spectral sequence ${}_IE$ for the covering map π_i gives a natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}^p(G;\partial B_i',\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathrm{H}^p(\partial B_i,\mathbb{Z})$$

Apply the second spectral sequence ${}_{II}E$ to the covering map π_i, we get

$$\mathrm{H}^2(G;\partial B_i',\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathrm{H}^2(G,\mathrm{H}^0(\partial B_i',\mathbb{Z})) \cong \mathrm{H}^2(G,\mathbb{Z}_{x_i})$$

Hence we have a natural isomorphism $\mathrm{H}^2(\partial B_i,\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathrm{H}^2(G,\mathbb{Z}_{x_i})$. (ii) follows directly from the spectral sequence ${}_IE$. To prove (iii) and (iv), we only need to compare the spectral sequence ${}_IE$ with Zeeman's spectral sequence. □

From now on, we assume the following

Assumption 2.5. $\mathrm{H}_1(S,\mathbb{Z}) = 0$.

One easily sees that the assumption above implies $\mathrm{H}^1(S,\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and $\mathrm{H}^3(S,\mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Consider the second spectral sequence ${}_{II}E$. Since $\mathrm{H}^q(S,\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$, $q = 0,4$, with the trivial action of G, we have

$$\mathrm{H}^p(G,\mathrm{H}^q(S,\mathbb{Z})) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}, & p=0; \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, & p=2k, k\geq 1; \\ 0, & p=2k-1, k\geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where $q=0,4$.

Lemma 2.6. *Under the assumptions 2.5, the following are true.*
(i) $\mathrm{H}^0(G;S,\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ *and* $\mathrm{H}^1(G;S,\mathbb{Z}) = 0$.
(ii) There is a short exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^2(G,\mathrm{H}^0(S,\mathbb{Z})) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^2(G;S,\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})^G \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

(iii) If $r\geq 1$, *then* $\mathrm{H}^3(G;S,\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathrm{H}^1(G,\mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z}))$.
(iv) If $r \geq 1$, *then there is a short exact sequence*

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^4(G,\mathrm{H}^0(S,\mathbb{Z})) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^4(G;S,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tor}} \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^2(G,\mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})) \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. This essentially a restatement of the spectral sequence ${}_{II}E$. (i) and (ii) are easy. For (iii) and (iv), we note that the spectral sequence gives an exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^3(G;S,\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^1(G,\mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})) \arrow[r, "\rho"] & \mathrm{H}^4(G,\mathrm{H}^0(S,\mathbb{Z})) \arrow[r, "\tau"] & \mathrm{H}^4(G;S,\mathbb{Z}) \end{tikzcd}$$

The composition of the natural map $\mathrm{H}^4(G; S, \mathbb{Z}) \to \oplus_{i=1}^{r} \mathrm{H}^4(G, \mathbb{Z}_{x_i})$ (see Lemma 2.4) with τ is the natural restriction map, which is nonzero. It follows that τ is nonzero and hence injective. Thus $\rho = 0$. Then (iii) and (iv) are easily deduced from the spectral sequence. $\square$

Theorem 2.7. *Let S be a smooth complex algebraic surface with and involution $\sigma : S \to S$. Assume that σ has finitely many fixed points $x_1, \dots, x_r \in S$, $r \geq 1$. Let $Y = S/\sigma$ be the quotient of S by the involution. If $\mathrm{H}_1(S, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, then the following are true.*
(i) The homology groups of Y are given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{H}_0(Y, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}, \qquad \mathrm{H}_1(Y, \mathbb{Z}) = 0, \qquad \mathrm{H}_2(Y, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathrm{H}^2(S, \mathbb{Z})^G \\ &\mathrm{H}_3(Y, \mathbb{Z}) = 0, \qquad \mathrm{H}_4(Y, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) The cohomology groups of Y are given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{H}^0(Y, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}, \qquad \mathrm{H}^1(Y, \mathbb{Z}) = 0, \qquad \mathrm{H}^2(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \subset \mathrm{H}^2(S, \mathbb{Z})^G \\ &\mathrm{H}^3(Y, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \qquad \mathrm{H}^4(Y, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) The failure of Poincaré duality on Y are measured by

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \operatorname{coker}\{\cap[Y] : \mathrm{H}^2(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{H}_2(Y, \mathbb{Z})\} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{r-1}, \\ N &= \ker\{\cap[Y] : \mathrm{H}^3(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{H}_1(Y, \mathbb{Z})\} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

(iv) We always have $r \geq 2$ and $\operatorname{coker}\{\mathrm{H}^2(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{H}^2(S, \mathbb{Z})^G\} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{r-2}$.
(v) $\mathrm{H}^1(G, \mathrm{H}^2(S, \mathbb{Z})) = 0$ and $\mathrm{H}^2(G, \mathrm{H}^2(S, \mathbb{Z})) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{r-2}$.
(vi) We have the following isomorphism as G-modules

$$\mathrm{H}^2(S, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[G]^{r_0} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{r-2}.$$

(vii) The number r is always even.

Proof. First we note that the assumption $\mathrm{H}_1(S, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ implies that $\mathrm{H}^1(S, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, $\mathrm{H}^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion free (universal coefficients) and $\mathrm{H}^3(S, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ (Poincaré duality). Since the composition of the natural maps

$$\varphi : \mathrm{H}^2(G, \mathrm{H}^0(S, \mathbb{Z})) \to \mathrm{H}^2(G; S, \mathbb{Z}) \to \oplus_{i=1}^{r} \mathrm{H}^2(G, \mathbb{Z}_{x_i})$$

comes from the natural diagonal/restriction map $\mathrm{H}^0(S, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \to \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{x_i} = \mathbb{Z}^r$, it follows that φ is injective if $r \geq 1$. Actually, under the isomorphisms $\mathrm{H}^2(G, \mathrm{H}^0(S, \mathbb{Z})) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and $\oplus \mathrm{H}^2(G, \mathbb{Z}_{x_i}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^r$, the homomorphism φ is nothing but the diagonal map. Consider the diagram

(8)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^r & & \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \arrow[r] \arrow[ur, "\varphi"] & \mathrm{H}_2(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & \mathrm{H}^2(S, \mathbb{Z})^G \arrow[r] & 0 \\
 & & \mathrm{H}^2(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \arrow[u] \arrow[ur, "\pi^*"'] & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

One easily sees that the injectivity of φ implies that of π^*. Hence $\mathrm{H}^2(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion free.

To prove (i), by (iv) of Lemma 2.4 and (i) of Lemma 2.6, we get

$$\mathrm{H}^1(Y,\mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{H}_3(Y,\mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{H}^1(G;S,\mathbb{Z}) = 0$$

Similar, we can get

$$\mathrm{H}_2(Y,\mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{H}^2(G;S,\mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})^G \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

where the second identity comes from (ii) of Lemma 2.6. Since $\mathrm{H}^1(Y,\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and $\mathrm{H}^2(Y,\mathbb{Z})$ is torsion free, we get $\mathrm{H}_1(Y,\mathbb{Z}) = 0$. The conclusions in (ii) follow from (i) by universal coefficient theorem. To prove (iii), we first note that $\mathrm{H}_1(Y,\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ implies that $N = \mathrm{H}^3(Y,\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Since M and N fit into the following short exact sequence by (1),

$$0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow \oplus\mathcal{H}(Y)_{y_i} = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^r \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0,$$

we get $M \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{r-1}$. To prove (iv), we consider the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \arrow[r, "\varphi'"] \arrow[d, equal] & M \arrow[r] & (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{r-2} \arrow[r] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}_2(Y,\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & \mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})^G \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & 0 \\
 & & \mathrm{H}^2(Y,\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[u] & \mathrm{H}^2(Y,\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[u, "\pi^*"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Note that $\mathrm{H}^2(Y,\mathbb{Z})$ is torsion free. This forces φ' to be injective. Hence $M \neq 0$ and $r \geq 2$. The last column shows that $\operatorname{coker}(\pi^*) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{r-2}$. By (iii) of Lemma 2.6, we get

$$\mathrm{H}^1(G,\mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})) = \mathrm{H}^3(G;S,\mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{H}_1(Y,\mathbb{Z}) = 0$$

This proves the first half of (v). Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^4(Y,\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & \mathrm{H}^4(S,\mathbb{Z})^G \arrow[r] & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \arrow[r] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^4(Y,\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^4(G;S,\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & \oplus\mathrm{H}^4(G,\mathbb{Z}_{x_i}) \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & 0 \\
 & & \mathrm{H}^4(G;S,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tor}} \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[u] & \mathrm{H}^4(G;S,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tor}} \arrow[u] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

We easily get $\mathrm{H}^4(G;S,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tor}} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{r-1}$. By (iv) of Lemma 2.6, we get

$$\mathrm{H}^2(G,\mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})) = \operatorname{coker}\{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \to \mathrm{H}^4(G;S,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tor}}\} = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{r-2}$$

This proves the second half of (v). The statement (vi) follows from Lemma 2.9 on structure of G-modules. The last statement follows from the fact that $h^2(S)$ is always even. □

We will be mainly interested in the case when σ has fixed points, but to complete the picture, we state the corresponding results on the case where σ is fixed point free.

Theorem 2.8. *Let S be a smooth projective surface with $\mathrm{H}_1(S,\mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Let $\sigma : S \to S$ be an involution that has no fixed point and $G = \{1, \sigma\}$. Let $\pi : S \to Y$ be the quotient morphism, which is étale of degree 2. Then the following are true.*
(i) The homology groups of Y are given by

$$\mathrm{H}_0(Y,\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}; \qquad \mathrm{H}_1(Y,\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}; \qquad \mathrm{H}_2(Y,\mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{H}_2(S,\mathbb{Z})^G \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z};$$
$$\mathrm{H}_3(Y,\mathbb{Z}) = 0; \qquad \mathrm{H}_4(Y,\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}.$$

(ii) The cohomology groups of Y are given by

$$\mathrm{H}^0(Y,\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}; \qquad \mathrm{H}^1(Y,\mathbb{Z}) = 0; \qquad \mathrm{H}^2(Y,\mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})^G \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z};$$
$$\mathrm{H}^3(Y,\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}; \qquad \mathrm{H}^4(Y,\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}.$$

(iii) As a G-module, the group cohomology of $\mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})$ is given by the following

$$\mathrm{H}^1(G,\mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2; \qquad \mathrm{H}^2(G,\mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})) = 0.$$

(iv) We have the following isomorphism of G-modules

$$\mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[G]^{r_0} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_-^2.$$

Proof. The natural quotient morphism $\pi : S \to Y$ is étale. This implies that

$$R^q\pi_*^G\mathbb{Z} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}, & q = 0; \\ 0, & q > 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence the first spectral sequence ${}_IE_2$ of (6) degenerates and we have

$$\mathrm{H}^i(G; S, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{H}^i(Y,\mathbb{Z}),\ \forall i \geq 0.$$

By (i) of Lemma 2.6, we get $\mathrm{H}^0(Y,\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathrm{H}^1(Y,\mathbb{Z}) = 0$. By (ii) of Lemma 2.6, we get $\mathrm{H}^2(Y,\mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})^G \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Then by the universal coefficient theorem for cohomology, we know that $\mathrm{H}_1(Y,\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. This implies that $\mathrm{H}^3(Y,\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ by Poincaré duality on Y. This finishes the proof of statement (ii). Then (i) follows automatically by Poincaré duality. The spectral sequence ${}_{II}E$ in (7) gives a exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^3(Y,\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^1(G,\mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^4(G,\mathrm{H}^0(S,\mathbb{Z})) \arrow[r, "\alpha"] & \mathrm{H}^4(Y,\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \end{tikzcd}$$

Since $\mathrm{H}^4(G,\mathrm{H}^0(S,\mathbb{Z})) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, the last homomorphism $\alpha = 0$. Then we can conclude that $\mathrm{H}^1(G,\mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ since this group is always 2-torsion. The above long exact sequence goes further as

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^2(G,\mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^4(Y,\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^4(S,\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \arrow[r] & 0. \end{tikzcd}$$

Since $\mathrm{H}^4(Y,\mathbb{Z})$ is torsion free, $\mathrm{H}^2(G,\mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z}))$ has to be 0. This proves (iii). The statement (iv) follows from the next lemma. ☐

Lemma 2.9. *Let G be the cyclic group of order 2 with generator σ. Assume that G acts on a finitely generated free abelian group Λ. Then we have*

$$\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[G]^{r_0} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_+^{r_+} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_-^{r_-}$$

as G-modules, where $\mathbb{Z}_-$ is the abelian group $\mathbb{Z}$ on which σ acts as multiplication by -1 and $\mathbb{Z}_+$ is the trivial G-module. In particular, $\mathrm{rk}(\Lambda) = 2r_0 + r_+ + r_-$, $\mathrm{H}^1(G,\Lambda) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{r_-}$ and $\mathrm{H}^2(G,\Lambda) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{r_+}$.

Proof. Let $B=\ker(\sigma-1)=\Lambda^G\subset\Lambda$ and $P=\ker(\sigma+1)\subset\Lambda$. Consider the exact sequence,

$$\begin{tikzcd}0 \arrow[r] & B\oplus P \arrow[r] & \Lambda \arrow[r] & Q \arrow[r] & 0\end{tikzcd}$$

For each element $q\in Q$, let $\tilde{q}\in\Lambda$ be a lift of q. Since

$$2\tilde{q}=(1+\sigma)\tilde{q}+(1-\sigma)\tilde{q}\in B+P,$$

we see that Q is of 2-torsion. Let $q\in Q$ be a nonzero element, and $\tilde{q}\in\Lambda$ be a lift of q. Since $2q=0$, we have $2\tilde{q}=b_0+p_0$, for some $b_0\in B$ and $p_0\in P$. We may choose $\tilde{q}$ such that both b_0 and q_0 are primitive. Let $\varphi:\mathbb{Z}[G]\to\Lambda$ be the homomorphism with $\varphi(1)=\tilde{q}$. We show that φ is injective. If $\varphi(x+y\sigma)=0$, for some $x,y\in\mathbb{Z}$, then by construction,

$$0=(x+y\sigma)(2\tilde{q})=(x+y\sigma)(b_0+p_0)=(x+y)b_0+(x-y)p_0.$$

It follows that $x+y=0$ and $x-y=0$ and hence $x=y=0$. Hence we get the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & B'\oplus P' \arrow[r] & \Lambda' \arrow[r] & Q' \arrow[r] & 0\\
0 \arrow[r] & B\oplus P \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & \Lambda \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & Q \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & 0\\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathbb{Z}b_0\oplus\mathbb{Z}p_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & \mathbb{Z}[G] \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "\varphi"'] & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

The middle column implies that $\mathrm{H}^1(G,\Lambda')=\mathrm{H}^1(G,\Lambda)$ and $\mathrm{H}^2(G,\Lambda')=\mathrm{H}^2(G,\Lambda)$. We next show that Λ' is torsion free. Since Λ'_{tor} is isomorphic to the saturation of $\varphi(\mathbb{Z}[G])$ modulo $\varphi(\mathbb{Z}[G])$, we conclude that Λ' is either torsion free or $\Lambda'_{\mathrm{tor}}=\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. If $\varphi(x+y\sigma)$ is divisible by 2, then we have

$$4\mid 2\varphi(x+y\sigma)=(x-y)p_0+(x+y)b_0$$

Since we already know that

$$4\mid 2p_0+2b_0$$

and b_0 is primitive, we conclude that $x-y$ is odd. Hence by taking differences, we will get

$$4\mid p_0+mb_0$$

for some odd number m. This means that $p_0+mb_0=4\alpha$. But we have

$$2\alpha=p_0'+b_0'$$

for some $p_0'\in P$ and $b_0'\in B$. It follows that

$$p_0=2p_0',\qquad mb_0=2b_0'.$$

This is a contradiction since we choose p_0 and b_0 to be primitive. Thus Λ' is torsion free. If $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}Q=0$, then the lemma holds automatically. By induction on $\dim Q$, we may assume that $\Lambda'=\mathbb{Z}[G]^{r_0-1}\oplus\mathbb{Z}_+^{r_+}\oplus\mathbb{Z}_-^{r_-}$. Then it follows that

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_G(\Lambda',\mathbb{Z}[G])=\mathrm{Ext}^1_G(\mathbb{Z}_+,\mathbb{Z}[G])^{r_+}\oplus\mathrm{Ext}^1_G(\mathbb{Z}_-,\mathbb{Z}[G])=0.$$

This means that the column in the middle splits and hence

$$\Lambda=\mathbb{Z}[G]^{r_0}\oplus\mathbb{Z}_+^{r_+}\oplus\mathbb{Z}_-^{r_-}.$$

This proves the lemma. □

3. Prym constructions

In this section, we fix S to be a smooth projective complex surface with $\mathrm{H}_1(S,\mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Assume that $\sigma : S \to S$ is an automorphism with $\sigma^2 = 1$.

3.1. **Hodge structures.**

Let $M \subset \mathrm{Pic}(S)$ be a saturated subgroup that is also a G-submodule. Let $M^\perp \subset \mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})$ be the orthogonal complement of M. Then $M^\perp$ is again a G-module.

Definition 3.1. The *Prym part* of $M^\perp$ is defined to be

$$\mathrm{Pr}(M^\perp,\sigma) = (\sigma - 1)(M^\perp),$$

with the induced Hodge structure and an integral bilinear form $\langle x, y\rangle = \frac{1}{2}(x \cdot y)$, where $x \cdot y$ is the intersection pairing.

Note that the bilinear form $\langle x, y\rangle$ is integral. Indeed, we have $x = (\sigma - 1)x'$ and $y = (\sigma - 1)y'$ for some $x', y' \in M^\perp$. Then we get

$$\frac{1}{2}x \cdot y = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma x' \cdot \sigma y' + x' \cdot y' - \sigma x' \cdot y' - x' \cdot \sigma y') = x' \cdot y' - \sigma x' \cdot y' \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proposition 3.2. *Assume that* $\mathrm{H}^1(G,M) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{a_1}$ *and* $\mathrm{H}^2(G,M) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{a_2}$. *If* σ *is fixed point free and* $a_1 = 0$, *then we have*

$$M^\perp \cong \mathbb{Z}[G]^{s_0} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_-^{a_2+2},$$

for some $s_0 \geq 0$. *If* σ *has* $r > 0$ *isolated fixed points and* $a_2 = 0$, *then*

$$M^\perp \cong \mathbb{Z}[G]^{s_0} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_+^{a_1+r-2},$$

for some $s_0 \geq 0$.

Proof. For any G-module N, we can define $N^* = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(N,\mathbb{Z})$, which is again a G-module in a natural way. If N is free as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module, then we have $N^* \cong N$ as G-modules. By Lemma 2.9, this is reduced to $(\mathbb{Z}_+)^* \cong \mathbb{Z}_+$, $(Z_-)^* \cong \mathbb{Z}_-$ and $\mathbb{Z}[G]^* \cong \mathbb{Z}[G]$, which are easy to verify. We consider the following exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & M \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] & (M^\perp)^* \arrow[r] & 0. \end{tikzcd}$$

If σ is fixed point free, then Theorem 2.8 shows that $\mathrm{H}^2(G,\mathrm{H}^2(S)) = 0$ and $\mathrm{H}^1(G,\mathrm{H}^2(S)) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$. If furthermore $a_1 = 0$, then from the above short exact sequence we get the following exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^1(G,\mathrm{H}^2(S)) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^1(G,(M^\perp)^*) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^2(G,M) \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

and $\mathrm{H}^2(G,(M^\perp)^*) = 0$. Since $M^\perp \cong (M^\perp)^*$, we get

$$\mathrm{H}^1(G,M^\perp) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{a_2+2} \text{ and } \mathrm{H}^2(G,M^\perp) = 0.$$

Then the conclusion follows from Lemma 2.9. If σ has $r > 0$ fixed points, then Theorem 2.7 shows that $\mathrm{H}^1(G,\mathrm{H}^2(S)) = 0$ and $\mathrm{H}^2(G,\mathrm{H}^2(S)) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{r-2}$. If furthermore $a_2 = 0$, then the associated long exact sequence becomes

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^2(G,\mathrm{H}^2(S)) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^2(G,(M^\perp)^*) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^3(G,M) \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

and $\mathrm{H}^1(G,(M^\perp)^*) = 0$. From this we get

$$\mathrm{H}^1(G,M^\perp) = 0 \text{ and } \mathrm{H}^2(G,M^\perp) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{a_1+r-2}.$$

Then the conclusion follows again from Lemma 2.9. $\square$

Corollary 3.3. *If σ is fixed point free and $a_1 = 0$, then*

$$\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{Pr}(M^{\perp},\sigma) = \frac{h^2(S) - \operatorname{rk} M + a_2}{2} + 1.$$

If σ has $r > 0$ fixed points and $a_2 = 0$, then

$$\operatorname{Pr}(M^{\perp},\sigma) = \{\alpha \in M^{\perp} : \sigma(\alpha) = -\alpha\}$$

and

$$\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{Pr}(M^{\perp},\sigma) = \frac{h^2(S) - \operatorname{rk} M - a_1 - r}{2} + 1.$$

Proof. If σ is fixed point free and $a_1 = 0$, then $2s_0 + a_2 + 2 = h^2(S) - \operatorname{rk} M$. Hence we get $s_0 = \frac{h^2(S) - \operatorname{rk} M - a_2}{2} - 1$. By definition, we get

$$\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{Pr}(M^{\perp},\sigma) = s_0 + a_2 + 2 = \frac{h^2(S) - \operatorname{rk} M + a_2}{2} + 1.$$

The remaining case is proved similarly. □

We fix some notations. For any G-module N, we write

$$N^{\sigma=1} = N^G = \{x \in N : \sigma(x) = x\} \text{ and } N^{\sigma=-1} = \{x \in N : \sigma(x) = -x\}.$$

Let N be a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module with a symmetric bilinear form, then $\det(N)$ is defined to be the determinant of a matrix representation of the bilinear form. For example, when Σ is a smooth projective surface over $\mathbb{C}$, the cup-product (or intersection form) defines a symmetric bilinear form on $\Lambda = \mathrm{H}^2(\Sigma,\mathbb{Z})/\mathrm{tor}$. The Poincaré duality implies that $\det(\Lambda) = \pm 1$.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $M \subset \operatorname{Pic}(S)$ be a saturated submodule as above such that the intersection form restricted to M is nondegenerate and $2 \nmid \det(M)$. Assume that $\mathrm{H}^1(G,M) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{a_1}$ and $\mathrm{H}^2(G,M) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{a_2}$. Let $M \hookrightarrow M^*$ be the natural induced inclusion with quotient denoted by Q_M. Let $q' = \det(M^{\sigma=-1})$ and $q = |(Q_M)^{\sigma=-1}|$. If σ is fixed point free and $a_1 = 0$, then*

$$\det(\operatorname{Pr}(M^{\perp},\sigma),\langle\,,\rangle) = \pm 2^{a+2}\frac{q^2}{q'}, \quad a = \frac{\operatorname{rk} M + 3a_2}{2}.$$

If σ has $r > 0$ fixed points and $a_2 = 0$, then

$$\det(\operatorname{Pr}(M^{\perp},\sigma),\langle\,,\rangle) = \pm 2^{b}\frac{q^2}{q'}, \quad b = \frac{\operatorname{rk} M + a_1}{2}.$$

Proof. We first prove the following

Claim 1: Let r_0 be the number of copies of $Z[G]$ in $\mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})$, then $\det(\mathrm{H}^2(S)^{\sigma=-1}) = \pm 2^{r_0}$.

Consider the following exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^2(S)^{\sigma=1} \oplus \mathrm{H}^2(S)^{\sigma=-1} \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^2(S) \arrow[r] & (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{r_0} \arrow[r] & 0. \end{tikzcd}$$

By Lemma 3.5, we get

$$\det(\mathrm{H}^2(S)^{\sigma=1})\det(\mathrm{H}^2(S)^{\sigma=-1}) = \pm 2^{2r_0}. \tag{9}$$

Let $Y = S/G$ be the quotient of S by the involution σ and $\pi : S \to Y$ the quotient map. We use $\mathrm{H}^2(Y)$ to denote $\mathrm{H}^2(Y,\mathbb{Z})$ modulo torsion. If σ is fixed point free, then Y is smooth and there is an isomorphism $\pi^*\mathrm{H}^2(Y) = \mathrm{H}^2(S)^G$. Hence we have

$$\det \mathrm{H}^2(S)^G = \pm 2^{h^2(Y)} = \pm 2^{r_0}.$$

By combining this with the identity above, we get

$$\det(\mathrm{H}^2(S)^{\sigma=-1}) = \pm 2^{r_0}.$$

If σ has $r > 0$ fixed points, the Y has r ordinary double points. Let $f : \tilde{Y} \to Y$ be the minimal resolution of Y. Let $E_i \subset \tilde{Y}$, $i = 1, \ldots, r$, be the exceptional divisors. As in Remark 2.3, we see that $f^*\mathrm{H}^2(Y) \subset \mathrm{H}^2(\tilde{Y})$ is simply the orthogonal complement of $\{E_1, \ldots, E_r\}$. Let $E \subset \mathrm{H}^2(\tilde{Y})$ be the saturation of $\oplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}E_i$. Since the homomorphism $\mathrm{H}^2(\tilde{Y}) \to \mathbb{Z}^r$, defined by intersecting with E_i's, has cokernel $N = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, we get that $E/(\oplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}E_i) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. From this and Lemma 3.5, we deduce that $\det(E) = \pm 2^{r-2}$. It follows that

$$\det(\mathrm{H}^2(Y)) = \det(f^*\mathrm{H}^2(Y)) = \pm 2^{r-2}.$$

Since $\operatorname{coker}\{\pi^*\mathrm{H}^2(Y) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{H}^2(S)^G\} = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{r-2}$, we have

$$2^{h^2(Y)} \det(\mathrm{H}^2(Y)) = \det(\pi^*\mathrm{H}^2(Y)) = 2^{2r-4} \det(\mathrm{H}^2(S)^G).$$

Note that $h^2(Y) = r_0 + r - 2$. Hence $\det(\mathrm{H}^2(S)^G) = \pm 2^{r_0}$. Then it follows from (9) that $\det(\mathrm{H}^2(S)^{\sigma=-1}) = \pm 2^{r_0}$. This proves Claim 1.

Now consider the following short exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & M \oplus M^{\perp} \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^2(S) \arrow[r] & Q_M \arrow[r] & 0. \end{tikzcd}$$

By taking the σ-antiinvariant part, we have a long exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & M^{\sigma=-1} \oplus (M^{\perp})^{\sigma=-1} \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^2(S)^{\sigma=-1} \arrow[r] & {} \\ (Q_M)^{\sigma=-1} \arrow[r, "\delta"] & \mathrm{H}^2(G, M \oplus M^{\perp}) \arrow[r] & \cdots \end{tikzcd}$$

Note that as a G-module, Q_M is canonically isomorphic to M^*/M where $M \subset M^*$ is induced by the intersection form. The fact that $2 \nmid \det(M)$ implies that Q_M has no 2-torsion. Since $\mathrm{H}^2(G, M \oplus M^{\perp})$ is always of 2-torsion, we conclude that $\delta = 0$. By Lemma 3.5, we have

$$\det(M^{\sigma=-1}) \det((M^{\perp})^{\sigma=-1}) = q^2 \det(\mathrm{H}^2(S)^{\sigma=-1}).$$

or equivalently,

$$\det((M^{\perp})^{\sigma=-1}) = \frac{q^2}{q'} \det(\mathrm{H}^2(S)^{\sigma=-1}).$$

If σ is fixed point free, then the quotient of $\mathrm{Pr}(M^{\perp}, \sigma) \subset (M^{\perp})^{\sigma=-1}$ is $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{a_2+2}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\mathrm{Pr}(M^{\perp}, \sigma), \langle\,,\rangle) &= \frac{1}{2^s} \det(\mathrm{Pr}(M^{\perp}, \sigma), \cdot), \quad s = \operatorname{rk} \mathrm{Pr}(M^{\perp}, \sigma) \\ &= 2^{2a_2 - s + 4} \det(M^{\perp})^{\sigma=-1} \\ &= \pm 2^{a+2} \frac{q^2}{q'}, \end{aligned}$$

where $a = \frac{\operatorname{rk} M + 3a_2}{2}$. In the second case, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\det(\Pr(M^\perp,\sigma),\langle\,,\rangle) &= \frac{1}{2^s}\det(\Pr(M^\perp,\sigma),\cdot), \quad s = \operatorname{rk}\Pr(M^\perp,\sigma)\\ &= 2^{-s}\det(M^\perp)^{\sigma=-1}\\ &= \pm 2^b\frac{q^2}{q'},\end{aligned}$$

where $b = \frac{\operatorname{rk} M + a_1}{2}$. □

Lemma 3.5. *Let N be a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module of finite rank which is equipped with an integral symmetric bilinear form and $N' \subset N$ a submodule of same rank. Then we have* $\det(N') = |N/N'|^2 \det(N)$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \ldots, v_n)$ be an integral basis of N, where $n = \operatorname{rk} N$. Let $\mathbf{v}' = (v_1', \ldots, v_n')$ be an integral basis of N'. Then there is a matrix A such that $\mathbf{v}' = \mathbf{v}A$ and $|N/N'| = |\det(A)|$. Let T be the matrix representing the bilinear form of N under the basis $\mathbf{v}$. Then the matrix representation of the bilinear form on N' with respect to $\mathbf{v}'$ is $T' = A^t T A$. Hence by definition, we have

$$\det(N') = \det(T') = \det(A^t T A) = \det(A)^2 \det(T) = |N/N'|^2 \det(N).$$

This proves the lemma. □

3.2. **Chow group and Brauer group.** We use $\mathrm{A}_0(S)$ to be the Chow group of zero cycles of degree 0. Then σ also acts on $\mathrm{A}_0(S)$. Let $\mathrm{Br}(S)$ be the Brauer group of the surface S. Again σ induces an involution on $\mathrm{Br}(S)$.

Definition 3.6. The *Prym part* of $\mathrm{A}_0(S)$ is

$$\Pr(\mathrm{A}_0(S),\sigma) = (\sigma - 1)\mathrm{A}_0(S).$$

The *Prym part* of $\mathrm{Br}(S)$ is

$$\Pr(\mathrm{Br}(S),\sigma) = (\sigma - 1)\mathrm{Br}(S).$$

Remark 3.7. Under the assumption that $\mathrm{H}_1(S,\mathbb{Z}) = 0$, the group $\mathrm{A}_0(S)$ is always torsion free and uniquely divisible, see [10]. Then $\Pr(\mathrm{A}_0(S),\sigma)$ is also torsion free and uniquely divisible. This further implies that

$$\Pr(\mathrm{A}_0(S),\sigma) = \mathrm{A}_0(S)^{\sigma=-1}.$$

However, the same identity for Prym part of Brauer group does not hold since $\mathrm{Br}(S)$ is torsion.

Consider the long exact sequence associated to the exponential sequence on S, we have

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Hdg}^2(S) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathcal{O}_S) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathcal{O}_S^*) \arrow[r] & 0. \end{tikzcd}$$

Since $\mathrm{Br}(S) = \mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathcal{O}_S^*)_{\mathrm{tor}}$, we get

$$\mathrm{Br}(S) = T^2(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}).$$

Let $M \subset \mathrm{Hdg}^2(S) = \mathrm{Pic}(S)$ be saturated and nondegenerate as above. We still set $Q_M = \mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})/(M \oplus M^\perp)$. Let $\mathrm{Hdg}(Q_M)$ be the image of $\mathrm{Hdg}^2(S)$ in Q_M. Set

$T(Q_M) = Q_M/\mathrm{Hdg}(Q_M)$. Then we have the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Hdg}(Q_M) \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & Q_M \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & T(Q_M) \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Hdg}^2(S) \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & \mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & T^2(S) \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Hdg}(M^\perp) \oplus M \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & M^\perp \oplus M \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & T(M^\perp) \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & 0 \\
 & 0 \arrow[u] & 0 \arrow[u] & 0 \arrow[u] &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{10}$$

If we take the $\sigma = -1$ parts and assume that $2 \nmid \det(M)$, then we get the following short exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & T(M^\perp)^{\sigma=-1} \arrow[r] & T^2(S)^{\sigma=-1} \arrow[r] & T(Q_M)^{\sigma=-1} \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd} \tag{11}$$

The surjectivity on the right hand side follows from the fact that $T(Q_M)$ has no 2-torsion.

Lemma 3.8. *Let N be a G-module which is free of finite rank over $\mathbb{Z}$. Then*

$$N^{\sigma=-1} \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} = \mathrm{Pr}(N \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, \sigma) = (\sigma - 1)(N \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}).$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.9, we only need to check for $N = \mathbb{Z}_+$, $N = \mathbb{Z}_-$ and $N = \mathbb{Z}[G]$, which are easy to verify. ☐

The above lemma leads to the following

Proposition 3.9. *Let $M \subset \mathrm{Pic}(S)$ be a G-module that is saturated and nondegenerate. Assume that $2 \nmid \det(M)$. Then there is a short exact sequence*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & T(Q_M)^{\sigma=-1} \arrow[r] & T(M^\perp)^{\sigma=-1} \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{Br}(S), \sigma) \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

There is a further short exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & K \arrow[r] & T((M^\perp)^{\sigma=-1}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \arrow[r] & T(M^\perp)^{\sigma=-1} \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \arrow[r] & 0,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $K = \mathrm{coker}\{\mathrm{H}^1(G, \mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})) \to \mathrm{H}^1(G, T^2(S))\}$.

Corollary 3.10. *There is a short exact sequence*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & K \oplus T(Q_M)^{\sigma=-1} \arrow[r] & T((M^\perp)^{\sigma=-1}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{Br}(S), \sigma) \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof of Proposition 3.9. We tensor sequence (11) with $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ and get

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Tor}_1(T(Q_M)^{\sigma=-1}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] & T(M^\perp)^{\sigma=-1} \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{Br}(S), \sigma) \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $\mathrm{Tor}_1(T(Q_M)^{\sigma=-1}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \cong T(Q_M)^{\sigma=-1}$, the first exact sequence follows. Consider the short exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Hdg}(M^\perp) \arrow[r] & M^\perp \arrow[r] & T(M^\perp) \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

By taking the $\sigma=-1$ part, we get

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Hdg}(M^{\perp})^{\sigma=-1} \arrow[r] & (M^{\perp})^{\sigma=-1} \arrow[r] & T(M^{\perp})^{\sigma=-1} \arrow[r] & K' \arrow[r] & 0, \end{tikzcd}$$

where $K'=\ker\{\mathrm{H}^2(G,\mathrm{Hdg}(M^{\perp}))\to \mathrm{H}^2(G,M^{\perp})\}$. In the diagram (10), we note that Q_M (and hence $\mathrm{Hdg}(Q_M)$ and $T(Q_M)$) is free of 2-torsion. This implies $\mathrm{H}^i(G,Q_M)=0$ (and hence $\mathrm{H}^i(G,\mathrm{Hdg}(Q_M))=0$ and $\mathrm{H}^i(G,T(Q_M))=0$) for all $i>0$. The left and middle columns of (10) show that

$$\mathrm{H}^2(G,M\oplus \mathrm{Hdg}(M^{\perp}))\cong \mathrm{H}^2(G,\mathrm{Hdg}^2(S))$$

and

$$\mathrm{H}^2(G,M^{\perp}\oplus M)\cong \mathrm{H}^2(G,\mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})).$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} K' &= \ker\{\mathrm{H}^2(G,\mathrm{Hdg}(M^{\perp})\oplus M)\to \mathrm{H}^2(G,M^{\perp}\oplus M)\}\\ &= \ker\{\mathrm{H}^2(G,\mathrm{Alg}^2(S))\to \mathrm{H}^2(G,\mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z}))\}\\ &= \mathrm{coker}\{\mathrm{H}^1(G,\mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z}))\to \mathrm{H}^1(G,T^2(S))\}\\ &= K. \end{aligned}$$

This gives the following short exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & T((M^{\perp})^{\sigma=-1}) \arrow[r] & T(M^{\perp})^{\sigma=-1} \arrow[r] & K \arrow[r] & 0. \end{tikzcd}$$

By tensoring with $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$, we get the second exact sequence. □

3.3. **Applications to Fourfolds.** On a variety Y, the Deligne complex, $\mathbb{Z}(k)_{\mathcal{D}}$, is the complex

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathbb{Z}(k) \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}_Y \arrow[r] & \Omega^1_Y \arrow[r] & \cdots \arrow[r] & \Omega^{k-1}_Y \end{tikzcd}$$

where $\mathbb{Z}(k)=(2\pi i)^k\mathbb{Z}$ is in degree 0. The Deligne cohomology groups are defined to be the hypercohomology of the Deligne complex. To be more precise,

$$\mathrm{H}^j_{\mathcal{D}}(Y,\mathbb{Z}(k))=\mathbb{H}^j(Y,\mathbb{Z}(k)_{\mathcal{D}}).$$

For small values of j and k, these groups are easy to understand. For example, the exponential sequence shows that $\mathbb{Z}(1)_{\mathcal{D}}$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}^*_{Y^{an}}[-1]$. Hence we know that $\mathrm{H}^2_{\mathcal{D}}(Y,\mathbb{Z}(1))\cong \mathrm{Pic}(Y)$ and $\mathrm{H}^3_{\mathcal{D}}(Y,\mathbb{Z}(1))_{\mathrm{tor}}\cong \mathrm{Br}(Y)$.

Definition 3.11. Let Y be a smooth projective variety. We define

$$\mathrm{Br}_2(Y)=\mathrm{H}^5_{\mathcal{D}}(Y,\mathbb{Z}(2))_{\mathrm{tor}}.$$

Remark 3.12. If $H^i(Y,\mathcal{O}_Y)=0$, for all $i>0$, then the group $\mathrm{Br}_2(Y)$ is quite similar to the Brauer group of a surface. If we further assume that $\mathrm{H}^5(Y,\mathbb{Z})=0$, then the long exact sequence associated to

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \Omega^1_Y[-2] \arrow[r] & \mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{D}}(2) \arrow[r] & \{\mathbb{Z}(2)\to \mathcal{O}_Y\} \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

gives the following exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Hdg}^4(Y) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^4(Y,\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^{1,3}(Y) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^5_{\mathcal{D}}(Y,\mathbb{Z}(2)) \arrow[r] & 0. \end{tikzcd}$$

Here we use the fact that $\mathrm{H}^4(Y,\mathbb{Z})\cong \mathrm{H}^4(Y,\mathbb{Z}(2)\to\mathcal{O}_Y)$, which is a consequence of the vanishing of $\mathrm{H}^i(Y,\mathcal{O}_Y)$, $i>0$. The above exact sequence implies that $\mathrm{Br}_2(Y)=T^4(Y)\otimes\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}=\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{H}^4(Y,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}},\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$.

Let X be a smooth projective fourfold with $\mathrm{H}^i(X,\mathcal{O}_X)=0$, $\forall i>0$. Assume that S parameterizes a family of curves on X given by

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathscr{C} \arrow[r, "q"] \arrow[d, "p"'] & X \\
S &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let $\Phi = p_*q^*$ be the Abel-Jacobi homomorphism defined on cohomology, Chow groups and Brauer groups. To be more precise we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi :&\mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z}),\\
\Phi :&\mathrm{CH}_1(X) \to \mathrm{CH}_0(S),\\
\Phi :&\mathrm{Br}_2(X) \to \mathrm{Br}(S).
\end{aligned}$$

The cylinder homomorphism $\Psi = q_*p^*$ is defined similarly. Let N be the saturation of the subgroup $\mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathrm{H}^2(X,\mathbb{Z}))\subset \mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z})$ of cohomology classes coming from $\mathrm{H}^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$. We define the primitive cohomology of X to be

$$\mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{prim}} = N^\perp \subset \mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z}).$$

Let $\mathrm{A}_1(X)=\mathrm{CH}_1(X)_{\mathrm{hom}}$ be the Chow group of homologically trivial 1-cycles on X. In our case, $\mathrm{A}_1(X)$ consists all elements of $\alpha\in\mathrm{CH}_1(X)$ such that the intersection number $\alpha\cdot D=0$ for all divisors D on X. Let $M\subset\mathrm{Pic}(S)$ be G-submodule such that

$$\Phi(N)\subset M \text{ and } \Psi(M)\subset N.$$

Then the homomorphism Φ induces

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi :&\mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{prim}} \to M^\perp,\\
\Phi :&\mathrm{A}_1(X) \to \mathrm{A}_0(S),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathrm{A}_0(S)$ is the Chow group of 0-cycles of degree zero. Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi :&M^\perp \to \mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{prim}},\\
\Psi :&\mathrm{A}_0(S) \to \mathrm{A}_1(X).
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.13. *Let S be a smooth projective surface with $\mathrm{H}_1(S,\mathbb{Z})=0$ and $\sigma: S\to S$ an involution with isolated fixed points. Let X be a 4-dimensional smooth projective variety with $\mathrm{H}^i(X,\mathcal{O}_X)=0$, for all $i>0$ and $\mathrm{H}^5(X,\mathbb{Z})=0$. Assume that S parameterizes a family, $p:\mathscr{C}\to S$, of curves on X with $q:\mathscr{C}\to X$ being the natural map. Set $\Phi=p_*q^*$ and $\Psi=q_*p^*$ as above, which are defined on the cohomology, Chow and Brauer groups. Let $N\subset\mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z})$ be the saturation of the image of $\mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathrm{H}^2(X,\mathbb{Z}))$. Let $M\subset\mathrm{Pic}(S)$ be a saturated and nondegenerate G-submodule such that $\Phi(N)\subset M$ and $\Psi(M)\subset N$.*
(i) Assume that the following three conditions hold,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\textit{(a).}\ \Psi\circ\Phi=-2:\mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{prim}}\to\mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{prim}};\\
&\textit{(b).}\ \Phi\circ\Psi=\sigma-1:M^\perp\to M^\perp;\\
&\textit{(c).}\ \mathrm{H}^1(G,M^\perp)=0.
\end{aligned}$$

Then Φ induces an isomorphism

$$\Phi:\mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{prim}}\to\mathrm{Pr}(M^\perp,\sigma)(-1),$$

which respects the bilinear forms of the two sides, namely

$$\langle\Phi(x),\Phi(y)\rangle = -(x\cdot y)_X, \quad \forall x,y\in \mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{prim}}.$$

(ii) Assume that the following two conditions hold,

$$\begin{aligned}&\textit{(a).}\ \Psi\circ\Phi = -2 : \mathrm{A}_1(X)\to \mathrm{A}_1(X);\\ &\textit{(b).}\ \Phi\circ\Psi = \sigma-1 : \mathrm{A}_0(S)\to\mathrm{A}_0(S).\end{aligned}$$

Then there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathrm{A}_1(X)\cong \mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{A}_0(S),\sigma)\oplus(\textit{2-torsion}),$$

such that $\Phi:\mathrm{A}_1(X)\to\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{A}_0(S),\sigma)$ *is simply the projection.*
(iii) Let the assumptions be the same as in (i). If $2\nmid \det(M)\det(N)$*, then for "Brauer" groups, we have a short exact sequence*

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & K \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Br}_2(X) \arrow[r, "\Phi"] & \mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{Br}(S),\sigma) \arrow[r] & 0, \end{tikzcd}$$

where $K=\mathrm{coker}\{\mathrm{H}^1(G,\mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z}))\to\mathrm{H}^1(G,T^2(S))\}$.

Proof. To prove (i), we first show that $\Phi:\mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{prim}}\to M^\perp$ is injective with $\mathrm{Im}(\Phi)\subset\mathrm{Pr}(M^\perp,\sigma)$. For any element $\alpha\in\mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{prim}}$, set $\mathfrak{a}=\Phi(\alpha)\in M^\perp$. If $\mathfrak{a}=0$, then

$$-2\alpha=\Psi(\Phi(\alpha))=\Psi(\mathfrak{a})=0.$$

This implies $\alpha=0$ since $\mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z})$ is torsion free. This shows that Φ is injective. We also have

$$(\sigma-1)\mathfrak{a}=\Phi\circ\Psi(\Phi(\alpha))=\Phi(-2\alpha)=-2\mathfrak{a},$$

which implies $\sigma(\mathfrak{a})=-\mathfrak{a}$, namely $\mathfrak{a}\in(M^\perp)^{\sigma=-1}$. Since $\mathrm{H}^1(G,M^\perp)=0$, we know that $\mathfrak{a}\in\mathrm{Pr}(M^\perp,\sigma)$. We next show that $\mathrm{Im}(\Phi)=\mathrm{Pr}(M^\perp,\sigma)$. To do this we only need to show that $\Psi:M^\perp\to\mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{prim}}$ is surjective. Indeed for any $\alpha\in\mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{prim}}$, we know that $\mathfrak{a}=\Phi(\alpha)$ can be written as $\mathfrak{a}=(\sigma-1)\mathfrak{a}_0$, for some $\mathfrak{a}_0\in M^\perp$. Let $\alpha'=\Psi(\mathfrak{a}_0)$, then

$$\Phi(\alpha')=\Phi\circ\Psi(\mathfrak{a}_0)=(\sigma-1)\mathfrak{a}_0=\mathfrak{a}=\Phi(\alpha).$$

Since Φ is injective, this forces $\alpha=\alpha'=\Psi(\mathfrak{a}_0)$. Hence Ψ is surjective. We still need to check the compatibility of the bilinear forms. Let $x,y\in\mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{prim}}$, then

$$\langle\Phi(x),\Phi(y)\rangle=\frac{1}{2}(\Phi(x)\cdot\Phi(y))_S=\frac{1}{2}(x\cdot\Psi\Phi(y))_X=-(x\cdot y)_X.$$

Let $\beta\in\mathrm{A}_1(X)$ be arbitrary and set $\mathfrak{b}=\Phi(\beta)\in\mathrm{A}_0(S)$. Then we can show that $\sigma(\mathfrak{b})=-\mathfrak{b}$. If $\mathfrak{b}=0$, then $-2\beta=\Psi(\mathfrak{b})=0$. On the other hand, if β is 2-torsion, then $\Phi(\beta)=0$ since $\mathrm{A}_0(S)$ is torsion free by a theorem of Roitman [10]. This implies that

$$\ker(\Phi)=\mathrm{A}_1(X)[2]=\{\beta\in\mathrm{A}_1(X):2\beta=0\}.$$

Since $\mathrm{A}_0(S)$ is uniquely divisible, the element $\mathfrak{b}_0=-\frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{b}\in\mathrm{A}_0(S)$ is well-defined and $\sigma(\mathfrak{b}_0)=-\mathfrak{b}_0$. Let $\beta'=\Psi(\mathfrak{b}_0)\in\mathrm{A}_1(X)$. Then

$$\Phi(\beta')=(\sigma-1)\mathfrak{b}_0=-2\mathfrak{b}_0=\mathfrak{b}=\Phi(\beta).$$

This implies that $\beta-\beta'\in\ker(\Phi)$ and hence Ψ is surjective modulo 2-torsion. Thus we conclude that $\Phi:\mathrm{A}_1(X)\to\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{A}_0(S),\sigma)$ is surjective with kernel being of 2-torsion. Then the image of Ψ gives the natural splitting. This proves (ii).

To prove (iii), we note that there is a short exact sequence relating $\mathrm{Br}_2(X)$ to the group $T(\mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{prim}})$, namely

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & T(Q_N) \arrow[r] & T(\mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{prim}})\otimes\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Br}_2(X) \arrow[r] & 0. \end{tikzcd}$$

Here $Q_N = N^*/N = \mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z})/(N\oplus \mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{prim}})$. Let $\mathrm{Hdg}(Q_N)$ be the image of $\mathrm{Hdg}^4(X)$ in Q_N, then $T(Q_N)=Q_N/\mathrm{Hdg}(Q_N)$. Note that by assumption, Q_N has no 2-torsion and hence so does $T(Q_N)$. The above short exact sequence together with the one obtained in Corollary 3.10, we get the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & K\oplus T(Q_M)^{\sigma=-1} \arrow[r] & T((M^{\perp})^{\sigma=-1})\otimes\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{Br}(S),\sigma) \arrow[r] & 0 \\ 0 \arrow[r] & T(Q_N) \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "\phi"] & T(\mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{prim}})\otimes\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "\cong"] & \mathrm{Br}_2(X) \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "\Phi"] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

The assumptions imply that $\Psi\circ\Phi=-2:\mathrm{Br}_2(X)\to\mathrm{Br}_2(X)$. This implies $\ker(\Phi)$ is of 2-torsion. The snake lemma shows that $\ker(\Phi)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{coker}(\phi)$. Since $T(Q_M)$ and $T(Q_N)$ are all free of 2-torsion, we conclude that $\phi: T(Q_N)\to T(Q_M)^{\sigma=-1}$ is isomorphism and $\ker(\Phi)\cong K$. □

4. Cubic fourfolds

In this section, we fix $X\subset\mathbb{P}^5_{\mathbb{C}}$ to be a smooth cubic fourfold. Let $F=F(X)$ be the variety of lines on X. One naturally embeds F into the Grassmannian $G(2,6)$. It is known that F is smooth of dimension 4, see [1]. Let $P=P(X)$ be the total space of lines on X. We have the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} P \arrow[r, "q"] \arrow[d, "p"] & X \\ F & \end{tikzcd}$$

A. Beauville and R. Donagi showed in [4] that F is actually irreducible holomorphic symplectic, i.e. $\mathrm{H}^0(F,\Omega^2_F)$ is generated by a everywhere nondegenerate holomorphic 2-form ω. Furthermore, they proved that the Abel-Jacobi homomorphism

$$\Phi = p_*q^* : \mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z})(1)\to \mathrm{H}^2(F,\mathbb{Z})$$

is an isomorphism of Hodge structures. The primitive cohomology of X, $\mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{prim}}$, is defined to be all elements orthogonal to h^2 under the intersection pairing, where h is the class of a hyperplane on X. Let $b(-,-)$ be the Beauville-Bogomolov bilinear form on $\mathrm{H}^2(F,\mathbb{Z})$. The primitive cohomology of F, denoted $\mathrm{H}^2(F,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{prim}}$, is defined to be all elements orthogonal to $\Phi(h^2)$ under the bilinear form b. Then it is further proved in [4] that Φ induces an isomorphism

$$\Phi:\mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{prim}}\to\mathrm{H}^2(F,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{prim}}$$

and the intersection form on X and the Beauville-Bogomolov form on F are related by

$$b(\Phi(x),\Phi(y)) = -(x\cdot y)_X$$

for $x,y\in\mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{prim}}$.

Definition 4.1. Let M be a finitely generated free abelian group with a non-degenerate integral symmetric bilinear form $b_1 : M \times M \to \mathbb{Z}$. Such an M will be called a non-degenerate *lattice*. Let $x \in M$ be a nonzero element. We define the *scale*, $s_{b_1}(x)$, of x to be the largest integer that divides $b_1(m, x)$ for all $m \in M$. The $(+)$-*modification* of (M, b_1) by x is a new lattice whose underlying abelian group is still M while the new bilinear form, $b_2 = m_x^+(b_1)$, is given by

$$b_2(\alpha, \beta) = b_1(\alpha, \beta) + \frac{1}{(s_{b_1}(x))^2} b_1(x, \alpha) b_1(x, \beta).$$

Similarly, the $(-)$-*modification* of (M, b_1) by x is $(M, b_2' = m_x^-(b_1))$ where the new bilinear form is given by

$$b_2'(\alpha, \beta) = b_1(\alpha, \beta) - \frac{1}{(s_{b_1}(x))^2} b_1(x, \alpha) b_1(x, \beta).$$

Lemma 4.2. *Let (M, b_1) be a non-degenerate lattice and $x \in M$ a nonzero element. Let (M, b_2) be the $(+)$-modification of (M, b_1) by x and (M, b_2') be the $(-)$-modification. Then the following are true*
(i) The modification by x is the same as the modification by ax for all nonzero integer a.
(ii) Let s be the scale of x in (M, b_1) and $b_1(x, x) = sc_0$ with $c_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the scale of x in (M, b_2) is $s + c_0$ and the scale of x in (M, b_2') is $s - c_0$.
(iii) If $s + c_0 \neq 0$, then the $(-)$-modification of (M, b_2) by x is (M, b_1); If $s - c_0 \neq 0$ then the $(+)$-modification of (M, b_2') by x is (M, b_1).
(iv) Assume that $s \pm c_0 \neq 0$, then the orthogonal complement $x^\perp$ of x is independent of the bilinear forms.
(v) Assume that M carries a Hodge structure of weight $2k$ and $x \in M^{k,k}$. If b_1 respects the Hodge structure in the sense that

$$b_1(M^{i,j}, M^{i',j'}) = 0, \text{ if } i \neq j',$$

then both b_2 and b_2' respect the Hodge structure of M.

Proof. For any $\alpha \in M$, we have

$$b_2(x, \alpha) = b_1(x, \alpha) + \frac{1}{s^2} b_1(x, x) b_1(x, \alpha) = (s + c_0) \frac{b_1(x, \alpha)}{s}$$

When α runs through M, $\frac{b_1(x,\alpha)}{s}$ runs through every integer. Hence the scale of x in (M, b_2) is $s + c_0$. This proves the first part of (ii). The second part is proved in a same way. The remaining statements follow directly from the definitions. □

From now on, we will identify $\mathrm{H}^4(X, \mathbb{Z})$ with $\mathrm{H}^2(F, \mathbb{Z})$ as free abelian groups with Hodge structures, which will be denoted Λ. Let $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ be the element that corresponds to $h^2 \in \mathrm{H}^4(X, \mathbb{Z})$, where h is the class of a hyperplane on X. On F, the element λ_0 is nothing but the polarization coming from the Plücker embedding of the Grassmannian $G(2, 6)$. Let $b_0 : \Lambda \times \Lambda \to \mathbb{Z}$ be the intersection form on $\mathrm{H}^4(X, \mathbb{Z})$ and $b : \Lambda \times \Lambda \to \mathbb{Z}$ be the Beauville-Bogomolov form on $\mathrm{H}^2(F, \mathbb{Z})$.

Proposition 4.3. *(i) The bilinear form b can be written as $b = -m_{\lambda_0}^-(b_0)$, i.e.*

$$b(x, y) = b_0(x, \lambda_0) b_0(y, \lambda_0) - b_0(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in \Lambda.$$

(ii) The bilinear form b_0 can be written as $b_0 = -m_{\lambda_0}^-(b)$, i.e.

$$b_0(x, y) = \frac{1}{4} b(x, \lambda_0) b(y, \lambda_0) - b(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in \Lambda.$$

Proof. This is essentially a restatement of the result of Beauville and Donagi. The identification of $\mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathrm{H}^2(F,\mathbb{Z})$ is via the Abel-Jacobi isomorphism Φ. We know that $b(\lambda_0,\lambda_0)=6$ and $b(x,y)=-b_0(x,y)$ for $x,y\in\mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{prim}}$. By Proposition 6 of [4], we know that λ_0 is orthogonal to $\mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{prim}}$ under b, then b is uniquely determined by its restriction to λ_0 and $\mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{prim}}$. One checks that the bilinear form given by the formula in (i) coincides with b after restricting to λ_0 and $\mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{prim}}$. This forces (i) to be true. To prove (ii), we only need to check that the scale of λ_0 in (Λ,b) is equal to 2. This can be seen easily by considering the special case when X is a Pfaffian cubic fourfold. In this case $F=S^{[2]}$ where S is a $K3$-surface of degree 14. Under the canonical isomorphism $\mathrm{H}^2(F,\mathbb{Z})\simeq\mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})\oplus\mathbb{Z}\delta$, we have $\lambda_0=2l-5\delta$ with l being the class of the degree 14 polarization on S. From this, we computes that $b(\lambda_0,\Lambda)=2\mathbb{Z}$ and hence the scale of λ_0 in (Λ,b) is 2. □

Remark 4.4. Let $\Lambda_0=(\lambda_0)^{\perp}\subset\Lambda$, which is independent of the bilinear form. If $x\in\Lambda_0$, then $b(x,y)=-b_0(x,y)$, for all $y\in\Lambda$.

Let $l\subset X$ be a general line on X. Then we have the following splitting type of the normal bundle of l in X,

$$\mathscr{N}_{l/X}\cong\mathcal{O}^2\oplus\mathcal{O}(1).$$

We will use $\mathscr{N}^+_{l/X}$ to denote the positive sub-bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)\subset\mathscr{N}_{l/X}$. Let $S_l\subset F$ be the space of all lines meeting l. It is known that S_l is a smooth surface, see [16]. On S_l, there is a natural involution $\sigma:S_l\to S_l$. If $[l']\in S_l$ is a point different from $[l]$, then $\sigma([l'])$ is the residue line of $l\cup l'$. The line l determines a unique linear $P_l=\mathbb{P}^2\subset\mathbb{P}^5$ which is the span of l and the positive subbundle $\mathscr{N}^+_{l/X}$. The intersection of P_l and X is given by

$$P_l\cdot X=2l+l_0.$$

Then $\sigma([l])=[l_0]$. The involution σ has 16 isolated fixed points. The quotient of $Y_l=S_l/\sigma$ is a quintic surface in $\mathbb{P}^3$ with 16 ordinary double points. To apply the results from the previous sections, we need the following lemma whose proof will be given later.

Lemma 4.5. *The surface S_l satisfies $\mathrm{H}_1(S_l,\mathbb{Z})=0$.*

Let $p_l:\mathscr{C}_l\to S_l$ be the total space of lines meeting l and $q_l:\mathscr{C}_l\to X$ be the natural morphism. Let

$$\Phi_l=(p_l)_*(q_l)^*:\mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z})\to\mathrm{H}^2(S_l,\mathbb{Z})$$

be the associated Abel-Jacobi homomorphism and

$$\Psi_l=(q_l)_*(p_l)^*:\mathrm{H}^2(S_l,\mathbb{Z})\to\mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z})$$

be the associated cylinder homomorphism. Similarly we can define the Abel-Jacobi and cylinder homomorphisms for the Chow groups. By abuse of notations, we will still use Φ_l and Ψ_l to denote them, i.e.

$$\Phi_l=(p_l)_*(q_l)^*:\mathrm{CH}_i(X)\to\mathrm{CH}_{i-1}(S_l),$$

and

$$\Psi_l=(q_l)_*(p_l)^*:\mathrm{CH}_i(S_l)\to\mathrm{CH}_{i+1}(X).$$

Note that the action σ induces an involution on $\mathrm{H}^2(S_l,\mathbb{Z})$ and also $\mathrm{CH}_i(S_l)$ via pull-back. We will still use σ to denote this action. On S_l, we have two natural divisor classes g and g'. The class g is the restriction of λ_0. The class g' is defined as follows. For any point $x \in l$, all lines passing through x form a curve $C_x \subset S_l$. We define g' to be the class of the curve C_x.

Definition 4.6. The primitive cohomology of S_l is defined to be

$$\mathrm{H}^2(S_l, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{prim}} = \{\alpha \in \mathrm{H}^2(S_l, \mathbb{Z}) : \alpha \cup g = 0, \alpha \cup g' = 0\}$$

Note that on the group $\mathrm{Br}_2(X)$, we have

$$\Phi_l = (p_l)_*(q_l)^* : \mathrm{Br}_2(X) \to \mathrm{H}^3_{\mathcal{D}}(S_l, \mathbb{Z}(1))_{\mathrm{tor}} = \mathrm{Br}(S_l).$$

Now we state the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 4.7. *Let notations be as above, then the following are true.*
(i) The action σ preserves primitive classes on S_l, i.e.

$$\sigma : \mathrm{H}^2(S_l, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{prim}} \to \mathrm{H}^2(S_l, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{prim}}$$

(ii) The Abel-Jacobi homomorphism induces an isomorphism

$$\Phi_l : \mathrm{H}^4(X, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{prim}} \to \mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{H}^2(S_l, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{prim}}, \sigma)(-1)$$

of Hodge structures that respects the bilinear forms. This means that for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathrm{H}^4(X, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{prim}}$, we have

$$\langle \Phi_l(\alpha), \Phi_l(\beta) \rangle = -(\alpha \cdot \beta)_X.$$

(iii) For the Chow groups, let $\mathrm{A}_1(X)$ be the Chow group of 1-cycles on X of degree 0. Let $\mathrm{A}_0(S_l)$ be the Chow group of 0-cycles on S_l of degree 0. Then the Abel-Jacobi homomorphism also induces an isomorphism

$$\Phi_l : \mathrm{A}_1(X) \to \mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{A}_0(S_l), \sigma)$$

of abelian groups. In particular, $\mathrm{A}_1(X)$ is uniquely divisible.
(iv) For the "Brauer groups", we have that Φ_l fits into an exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & K \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Br}_2(X) \arrow[r, "\Phi_l"] & \mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{Br}(S_l), \sigma) \arrow[r] & 0, \end{tikzcd}$$

where $K \cong \mathrm{H}^1(G, T^2(S_l))$.
(v) The group $K \subset \mathrm{Br}_2(X)$ is independent of the choice of the general line $l \subset X$.

Proof. The main idea of the proof follows [13] (Proposition 3.3). Let $\{l_t \subset X : t \in T\}$ be a 1-dimensional family of lines on X with $l_0 = l$ for some closed point $0 \in T$. Let $I \subset F \times F$ be the incidence correspondence. Let

$$I_t = I|_{S_{l_t} \times S_l} \subset \mathrm{CH}^2(S_{l_t} \times S_l)$$

be the restriction of the incidence correspondence. Let

$$I_0 = \lim_{t \to 0} I_t \in \mathrm{CH}^2(S_l \times S_l).$$

By definition, I_0 induces the homomorphism $\Phi_l \circ \Psi_l$ on cohomology, Chow groups and Brauer group. To give a description of I_0, we denote $v = \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} \in \mathrm{H}^0(l, \mathscr{N}_{l/X})$. Assume that the secant lines of the pair (l_t, l) (see [12] for the definition) specialize to $E_1, \ldots, E_5 \in S_l$. For each $[l'] \in S_l - [l]$, we can canonically associate a linear $\mathbb{P}^3 \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ passing through $x = l' \cap l$ in the following way. If $l' \neq E_i$, $\forall i = 1, \ldots, 5$, then we take the linear span of l', l and v_x to be the $\mathbb{P}^3$ associated to l'; If $l' = E_i$ for some i, then we take the corresponding $\mathbb{P}^3$ to be the linear span of l, v_x and the $\mathcal{O}(1)$-direction in $\mathscr{N}_{E_i/X}$. There are 6 lines, denoted $\{l, l', L_1, L_2, L_3, L_4\}$, on X that pass through x and lie on the linear $\mathbb{P}^3$. Then I_0 is generically defined by

$$\rho : [l'] \mapsto \sigma([l']) + [L_1] + [L_2] + [L_3] + [L_4].$$

This means that I_0 is represented by the closure $\bar{\Gamma}_\rho$ of the graph of the above multiple valued map. Let $\tilde{S}_l$ be the blow up of S_l at the point $[l]$. Then we get a fibration structure $\pi : \tilde{S}_l \to l$, which extends the map $[l'] \mapsto x = l' \cap l$. The exceptional divisor of the blow-up is mapped isomorphically onto l. Hence we can identify the exceptional divisor with l. For $x \in l$, we can define a linear $\mathbb{P}^3$ to be the linear span of l, v_x and the $\mathcal{O}(1)$-direction of $\mathscr{N}_{l/X}$. There are again 6 lines, denoted $2l, L_{x,1}, \ldots, L_{x,4}$, on X passing through x that lie in the linear $\mathbb{P}^3$. The rule

$$x \mapsto \sigma([l]) + [L_{x,1}] + [L_{x,2}] + [L_{x,3}] + [L_{x,4}]$$

extends ρ to a 1-to-5 multiple valued map $\tilde{\rho}$ from $\tilde{S}_l$ to S_l. When x runs through l, the points $\{L_{x,1}, \ldots, L_{x,4}\}$ traces out a divisor $D \subset S_l$. Hence we have

$$\bar{\Gamma}_\rho = \{([l_1], [l_2]) : [l_1] \neq [l],\, [l_2] \in \rho([l_1])\} \cup \{[l]\} \times D \subset S_l \times S_l. \tag{12}$$

Claim 1: The divisor class of D is equal to $\sigma(C_x)$. The class g can be written as $g = 2C_x + \sigma(C_x)$. [Also see Lemme 2 in §3 of [16].]

This can be seen as follows. By definition, $D|_{C_x} + 2[l] = K_{C_x}$. We know that C_x is a $(2,3)$-complete intersection in $\mathbb{P}(T_{X,x}) = \mathbb{P}^3$. Hence we can embed $C_x \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. We see that $\sigma(C_x) \cap C_x$ consists of points on C_x which are on the same horizontal or vertical rulings as $[l]$. Hence we have $\sigma(C_x)|_{C_x} + 2[l] = K_{C_x}$. This gives $(D - \sigma(C_x))|_{C_x} = 0$ for all general $x \in C_x$. This forces D to be the same as $\sigma(C_x)$. The class $\Psi_l(C_x) \in \mathrm{CH}_2(X)$ is represented by the surface swept by all lines passing through x. It is known that is class is $2h^2$, where h is the hyperplane, see [13] (Lemma 3.6). Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 2g &= \Phi_l \circ \Psi_l(C_x) \\ &= (I_0)_* C_x = (\bar{\Gamma}_\rho)_* C_x \\ &= \sigma(C_x) + 4C_x + D. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get $g = 2C_x + \sigma(C_x)$.

Claim 2: The primitive lattice $\mathrm{H}^2(S_l, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{prim}}$ is simply the orthogonal complement of $M = \mathbb{Z}C_x \oplus \mathbb{Z}C_x^\sigma$, where $C_x^\sigma = \sigma(C_x)$. As a $G = \mathbb{Z}[\sigma]$-module, we have

$$\mathrm{H}^1(G, \mathrm{H}^2(S_l, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{prim}}) = 0.$$

This follows from Claim 1 and Proposition 3.2.

The statement (i) is automatic since $\mathrm{H}^2(S_l, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{prim}} = M^\perp$. The above argument shows that $\Phi_l \circ \Psi_l = \sigma - 1$ on primitive cohomology and Chow groups. The proof of the theorem relies on a second identity $\Psi_l \circ \Phi_l = -2$ which holds on the primitive part of both cohomology and Chow groups. This was proved in [13] (Theorem 2.2). Then the theorem follows from Theorem 3.13, except (iii). Since $\mathrm{A}_1(X)$ is generated by lines (see Corollary 4.3 of [12]), we know that the natural cylinder homomorphism $\mathrm{A}_0(F) \to \mathrm{A}_1(X)$ is surjective. By the theorem of Roitman [10], the group $\mathrm{A}_0(F)$ is uniquely divisible. Hence $\mathrm{A}_1(X)$ is divisible. It follows that in the isomorphism of (ii) of Theorem 3.13, there is no 2-torsion part.

It still remains to show that the group K is independent of the choice of the line $l \subset X$. Let $l_1, l_2 \subset X$ be two different general lines. Set $S_i = S_{l_i}$ with the involution σ_i, $i=1,2$. Let Φ_i and Ψ_i, $i=1,2$ be the corresponding Abel-Jacobi and cylinder homomorphisms. Then the incidentce correspondence $\Gamma_{12}\subset S_1\times S_2$ induces

$$[\Gamma_{12}]_* = \Phi_2\circ\Psi_1 : \mathrm{H}^2(S_1,\mathbb{Z})\to \mathrm{H}^2(S_2,\mathbb{Z}).$$

On the primitive cohomology, the homomorphism $[\Gamma_{12}]_*$ naturally factors into

$$\mathrm{H}^2(S_1,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{prim}} \xrightarrow{\sigma_1-1} P_1 \xrightarrow{\gamma_{12}} P_2 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^2(S_2,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{prim}},$$

where $P_i=\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{H}^2(S_i,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{prim}},\sigma_i)$ and the induced map γ_{12} is an isomorphism. Let $M_i\subset \mathrm{Pic}(S_i)$ be the natural submodule. We can compute explicitly that

$$Q_{M_i}\cong (\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z})_+\oplus(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})_-.$$

By Proposition 3.9, we have

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & T(Q_{M_1})^{\sigma_1=-1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & T(P_1)\otimes\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\gamma_{12}"] & \mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{Br}(S_1),\sigma_1) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\bar\gamma_{12}"] & 0 , \\
0 \arrow[r] & T(Q_{M_2})^{\sigma_2=-1} \arrow[r] & T(P_2)\otimes\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{Br}(S_2),\sigma_2) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the vertical arrow in the middle is an isomorphism. Hence $\bar\gamma_{12}$ is surjective. The composition of $\Phi_1:\mathrm{Br}_2(X)\to\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{Br}(S_1),\sigma_1)$ and $\bar\gamma_{12}$ is equal to Φ_2. It follows that $\ker(\bar\gamma_{12})$ is of 2-torsion. Since the groups in the first column are of 3-torsion, we know that $\ker(\bar\gamma_{12})$ can only have 3-torsion. This forces $\bar\gamma_{12}$ to be injective and hence an isomorphism. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
K_1 &= \ker\{\Phi_1:\mathrm{Br}_2(X)\to\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{Br}(S_1),\sigma_1)\}\\
&= \ker\{\bar\gamma_{12}\circ\Phi_1:\mathrm{Br}_2(X)\to\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{Br}(S_2),\sigma_2)\}\\
&= \ker\{\Phi_2:\mathrm{Br}_2(X)\to\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{Br}(S_2),\sigma_2)\}\\
&= K_2.
\end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of the Theorem. □

Corollary 4.8. *For the transcendental lattices, the Abel-Jacobi map*

$$\Phi_l:\mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}\to\mathrm{H}^2(S_l,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}^{\sigma=-1}$$

is an isomorphism and

$$\mathrm{coker}\{\Psi_l:\mathrm{H}^2(S_l,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}\to\mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}\}\cong K$$

as abstract groups.

Proof. We have the natural identifications

$$\mathrm{Br}_2(X)=\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}},\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}),\quad \mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{Br}(S_l),\sigma)=\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{H}^2(S_l,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}},\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^{\sigma=-1}.$$

The Abel-Jacobi homomorphism $\Phi_l:\mathrm{Br}_2(X)\to\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{Br}(S_l),\sigma)$ is induced by

$$\Psi_l:\mathrm{H}^2(S_l,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}\to\mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}.$$

By applying $\mathrm{Hom}(-,\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$, we see that K has the same size as the cokernel of Ψ_l on the transcendental lattice. Since $T^2(S_l)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{H}^2(S_l,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}$ as G-modules. We know that

$$K\cong\mathrm{H}^1(G,\mathrm{H}^2(S_l,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}})=\mathrm{H}^2(S_l,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}^{\sigma=-1}/\Psi_l(\mathrm{H}^2(S_l,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}})$$

as abstract groups. This shows that in the sequence of inclusions

$$\Psi_l(\mathrm{H}^2(S_l,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{H}^2(S_l,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}^{\sigma=-1},$$

the quotient of the last term by the first has the same size as that of the second by the first. Thus the second inclusion, which is the same as Φ_l, is actually an equality. □

It is not clear yet whether $K \neq 0$ actually happens. The following proposition shows that one expects $K = 0$ to happen generically.

Proposition 4.9. *Let X be a smooth cubic fourfold and $l \subset X$ a general line. Let Y_l be the quotient of S_l be the involution σ. If $\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{Pic}(Y_l) = 1$ and $\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{Pic}(S_l) \leq 3$, then $K = 0$, i.e.*

$$\Phi_l : \mathrm{Br}_2(X) \to \mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{Br}(S_l),\sigma)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let X_l be the blow-up of X along the line l. Then the projection from l defines a morphism $X_l \to \mathbb{P}^3$ which realizes X_l as a conic bundle over $\mathbb{P}^3$. The surface S_l parameterizes lines in the singular fibers and $Y_l \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ is the discriminant divisor. By assumption, $\mathrm{Pic}(Y_l)$ is generated by the hyperplane class λ. It is known that $\pi^*\lambda = C_x + C_x^\sigma$, where $\pi : S_l \to Y_l$ is the natural double cover; see Lemme 2 in §3 of [16]. If the Picard rank of S_l is 2, then $\mathrm{Alg}^2(S_l) = M$ and $M^\perp = \mathrm{H}^2(S_l,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}$. In this case we already know that $K \cong \mathrm{H}^1(G, M^\perp) = 0$.

Assume that the Picard rank of S_l is 3. Then $\mathrm{Pic}(S_l) = \mathbb{Z}C_x \oplus \mathbb{Z}C_x^\sigma \oplus \mathbb{Z}\mathfrak{a}$ for some class $\mathfrak{a}$. Since $\sigma(\mathfrak{a}) + \mathfrak{a} = \pi_*\pi^*\mathfrak{a}$ is a multiple of $\pi^*\lambda$, we have

$$\mathfrak{a} + \sigma(\mathfrak{a}) = n(C_x + C_x^\sigma), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We replace $\mathfrak{a}$ by $\mathfrak{a} - nC_x$ and assume that $\sigma(\mathfrak{a}) = -\mathfrak{a}$. Hence $\mathrm{Pic}(S_l) \cong \mathbb{Z}[G] \oplus \mathbb{Z}_-$ as a G-module. In particular, $\mathrm{H}^i(G,\mathrm{Pic}(S_l)) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ for odd i. The intersection form on the Picard group, with respect to the basis chosen above, is given by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 & m \\ 4 & 1 & -m \\ m & -m & d \end{pmatrix}$$

where $m = \mathfrak{a}\cdot C_x$ and $d = (\mathfrak{a})^2$. One computes that $\det(A) = -5(3d + 2m^2)$. Let $\{f, f^\sigma, \alpha\}$ be the dual basis of $\mathrm{Pic}(S_l)^*$ and $\{\bar{f}, \bar{f}^\sigma, \bar{\alpha}\}$ its image in $\mathrm{Pic}(S_l)^*/\mathrm{Pic}(S_l)$. Then $\bar{f} + \bar{f}^\sigma$ has order 5 and $(3d + 2m^2)\bar{\alpha} = 0$. If $3 \nmid m$, then $\bar{\alpha}$ is of order $N = |3d + 2m^2|$ and we have

$$\mathrm{Pic}(S_l)^*/\mathrm{Pic}(S_l) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z})_+ \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})_-.$$

If $m = 3m_0$, then $\bar{\alpha}$ is of order $N' = |d + 6m_0^2|$ and

$$\mathrm{Pic}(S_l)^*/\mathrm{Pic}(S_l) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z})_+ \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/N'\mathbb{Z})_- \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})_-.$$

In either case, we know that the 2-primary part T_2 of $\{\mathrm{Pic}(S_l)^*/\mathrm{Pic}(S_l)\}^{\sigma=1}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. The exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Pic}(S_l) \oplus \mathrm{H}^2(S_l,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}} \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^2(S_l,\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Pic}(S_l)^*/\mathrm{Pic}(S_l) \arrow[r] & 0. \end{tikzcd}$$

gives an exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} \cdots \arrow[r] & T_2 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^1(G,\mathrm{Pic}(S_l)) \oplus \mathrm{H}^1(G,\mathrm{H}^2(S_l,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^1(G,\mathrm{H}^2(S_l,\mathbb{Z})) = 0. \end{tikzcd}$$

Since $\mathrm{H}^1(G,\mathrm{Pic}(S_l))=\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and $T_2=\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, we know that $\mathrm{H}^1(G,\mathrm{H}^2(S_l,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}})=0$. This implies that $K=0$. ☐

5. Proof of Lemma 4.5

Let V be a complex vector space with $\dim V=6$ and $G\in\mathrm{Sym}^3V^*$ such that $G=0$ defines a smooth cubic fourfold $X\subset\mathbb{P}(V)$. Let $\{e_0,e_1,\ldots,e_5\}$ be a basis of V and $\{X_0,X_1,\ldots,X_5\}$ the dual basis of V^*. Let $\mathrm{G}(r,V)$ be the Grassmannian parameterizing r-dimensional subspaces of V. On $\mathrm{G}(r,V)$, there is the canonical rank r subbundle $\mathcal{V}_r$ of the trivial bundle $V\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{G}(r,V)}$. More generally, we will use $\mathrm{G}(r_1,r_2,V)$ to denote the flag variety parameterizing $V_1\subset V_2\subset V$ with $r_1=\dim V_1<r_2=\dim V_2$. In the particular case of $r_1=1$ and $r_2=2$, we have the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}\mathrm{G}(1,2,V) \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, "g"'] & \mathrm{G}(1,V)=\mathbb{P}(V)\\ \mathrm{G}(2,V) & \end{tikzcd}$$

On $\mathrm{G}(1,2,V)$, we have the natural inclusions

$$f^*\mathcal{V}_1\subset g^*\mathcal{V}_2\subset V.$$

By definition, Sym^3V^* is a quotient of $V^*\otimes V^*\otimes V^*$. However, we can naturally identify Sym^3V^* with the symmetric tensors in $(V^*)^{\otimes3}$. More precisely, the inclusion $\mathrm{Sym}^3V^*\subset(V^*)^{\otimes3}$ is given by

$$\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\mapsto\frac{1}{6}(\sum\alpha_i\otimes\alpha_j\otimes\alpha_k),$$

where (i,j,k) runs through all permutations of $\{1,2,3\}$. Hence $G\in\mathrm{Sym}^3V^*$ maps to an element $\tilde{G}$ in $(V^*)^{\otimes3}$ and we write

$$\tilde{G}=\sum a_{ijk}X_i\otimes X_j\otimes X_k.$$

Let G' be the image of $\tilde{G}$ under the natural map

$$V^*\otimes V^*\otimes V^*\mapsto\mathrm{Sym}^2(V^*)\otimes V^*.$$

If we identify $\mathrm{Sym}^2V^*\otimes V^*$ with $\mathrm{Hom}(V,\mathrm{Sym}^2V^*)$, then G' gives an element

$$G_1\in\mathrm{Hom}(V,\mathrm{Sym}^2V^*).$$

If we identify $\mathrm{Sym}^2V^*\otimes V^*$ with $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Sym}^2V,V^*)$, then G' gives an element

$$G_2\in\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Sym}^2V,V^*).$$

We can also write

$$G_1=\frac{1}{3}\sum_{i=0}^{5}X_i\otimes\frac{\partial G}{\partial X_i},\qquad G_2=\frac{1}{3}\sum_{i=0}^{5}\frac{\partial G}{\partial X_i}\otimes X_i.$$

The canonical element $1\in\mathrm{Hom}(V,V)=V\otimes V^*=\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)},V\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(1))$ gives a homomorphism

$$e:\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}\to V\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(1),\quad 1\mapsto\sum e_i\otimes X_i.$$

It is well known that this fits into the following Euler exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd}0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)} \arrow[r, "e"] & V\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(1) \arrow[r] & T_{\mathbb{P}(V)} \arrow[r] & 0\end{tikzcd}$$

There is a natural identification

$$\mathrm{Hom}(V, \mathrm{Sym}^2 V^*) = \mathrm{Hom}(V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(2)).$$

Hence G_1 induces a homomorphism

$$G_1 : V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(2).$$

Similarly, G_2 also induces a homomorphism

$$G_2 : \mathrm{Sym}^2 V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(1).$$

It is easy to check that the composition

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)} \xrightarrow{e} V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(1) \xrightarrow{G_1 \otimes 1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(3)$$

is simply given by the element $G \in \mathrm{Sym}^3 V^*$. Hence on the cubic fourfold X, the above composition is 0 and hence $G_1 \otimes 1$ factors through $T_{\mathbb{P}(V)}|_X$. Let $\rho : T_{\mathbb{P}(V)}|_X \to \mathcal{O}_X(3)$ be the resulting homomorphism. Then all these homomorphisms fit into the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
T_X \arrow[r] & T_{\mathbb{P}(V)}|_X \arrow[r, "\rho"] & \mathcal{O}_X(3) \\
\mathscr{F} \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(1) \arrow[r, "G_1 \otimes 1"] \arrow[u] & \mathcal{O}_X(3) \arrow[u, equal] \\
\mathcal{O}_X \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[u] & \mathcal{O}_X \arrow[u, "e"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

where all the horizontal and vertical sequences are exact. We identify $f : f^{-1}X \to X$ with $\pi : \mathbb{P}(T_{\mathbb{P}(V)}|_X) \to X$, and let ξ be the relative $\mathcal{O}(1)$-class. Then by the identity

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Hom}(T_{\mathbb{P}(V)}|_X, \mathcal{O}_X(3)) &= \mathrm{H}^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(3) \otimes \pi_* \mathcal{O}(\xi)) \\
&= \mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{P}(T_{\mathbb{P}(V)}|_X), \mathcal{O}(\xi) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{O}_X(3)),
\end{aligned}$$

the homomorphism ρ defines an element $\rho_1 \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{P}(T_{\mathbb{P}(V)}|_X), \mathcal{O}(\xi) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{O}_X(3))$. The vanishing of ρ_1 defines $\mathbb{P}(T_X) \subset \mathbb{P}(T_{\mathbb{P}(V)}|_X)$. This can already be seen from the above diagram. However, we give another proof which gives more information.

Given a point $v = [V_1 \subset V_2 \subset V] \in \mathrm{G}(1, 2, V)$, we take a basis $\{v_1, v_2\}$ of V_2 such that $V_1 = \mathbb{C}v_1$. Let $t \in \mathbb{A}^1$ be an affine coordinate, then

$$G(v_1 + tv_2) = G(v_1) + t\sum_{i=0}^{5} \frac{\partial G}{\partial X_i}(v_1) X_i(v_2) + t^2 \sum_{i=0}^{5} X_i(v_1) \frac{\partial G}{\partial X_i}(v_2) + t^3 G(v_2). \tag{13}$$

If $v \in f^{-1}X$, then $G(v_1) = 0$. Hence the line l_{V_2}, defined by $V_2 \subset V$, is tangent to X at the point $\pi(v) \in X$ if and only if

$$\sum_{i=0}^{5} \frac{\partial G}{\partial X_i}(v_1) X_i(v_2) = 0. \tag{14}$$

Note that the truth of the above equality is independent of the choice of the basis $\{v_1, v_2\}$. Tracing the definition of ρ_1, we see that equation (14) is the same as $\rho_1 = 0$. This can be carried out explicitly as follows. The composition

$$\pi^*(\mathcal{V}_1|_X \otimes \mathcal{V}_1|_X) \otimes (g^* \mathcal{V}_2)|_{f^{-1}X} \longrightarrow V \otimes V \otimes V \xrightarrow{\tilde{G}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(T_{\mathbb{P}(V)}|_X)}$$

factors through $\pi^*(\mathcal{V}_1|_X\otimes\mathcal{V}_1|_X)\otimes(g^*\mathcal{V}_2/f^*\mathcal{V}_1)|_{f^{-1}X}$. The resulting homomorphism is exactly

$$\sum_{i=0}^{5}\frac{\partial G}{\partial X_i}\otimes X_i : \pi^*(\mathcal{V}_1|_X\otimes\mathcal{V}_1|_X)\otimes(g^*\mathcal{V}_2/f^*\mathcal{V}_1)|_{f^{-1}X}\to\mathcal{O}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{V}_1=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(-1)$, $g^*\mathcal{V}_2/f^*\mathcal{V}_1=\mathcal{O}_f(-1)$ where $\mathcal{O}_f(1)$ is the relative $\mathcal{O}(1)$ bundle of

$$f:\mathrm{G}(1,2,V)=\mathbb{P}(V/\mathcal{V}_1)\to\mathbb{P}(V)$$

Since $T_{\mathbb{P}(V)}=(V/\mathcal{V}_1)\otimes\mathcal{V}_1^*$, we get

$$\mathcal{O}_f(1)=\mathcal{O}(\xi)\otimes f^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(1).$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=0}^{5}\frac{\partial G}{\partial X_i}\otimes X_i &\in \mathrm{Hom}(\pi^*(\mathcal{V}_1|_X\otimes\mathcal{V}_1|_X)\otimes(g^*\mathcal{V}_2/f^*\mathcal{V}_1)|_{f^{-1}X},\mathcal{O})\\ &=\mathrm{Hom}(\pi^*\mathcal{O}_X(-2)\otimes(\mathcal{O}(-\xi)\otimes\pi^*\mathcal{O}(-1)),\mathcal{O})\\ &=\mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{P}(T_{\mathbb{P}(V)}|_X),\mathcal{O}(\xi)\otimes\pi^*\mathcal{O}_X(3)),\end{aligned}$$

and this element is exactly ρ_1. Assume that $\rho_1=0$, then equation (13) tells us that l_{V_2} intersects X with multiplicity at least 3 at the point $[v_1]\in X$ if and only if

$$\sum_{i=0}^{5}X_i(v_1)\frac{\partial G}{\partial X_i}(v_2)=0.$$

Proceed in a similar way, we see that the above condition is the same as a vanishing of some element

$$\rho_2\in\mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{P}(T_X),\mathcal{O}(2\xi)\otimes\pi^*\mathcal{O}_X(3)).$$

If we further assume $\rho_2=0$, then l_{V_2} is contained in X if and only if some element

$$\rho_3\in\mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{P}(T_X),\mathcal{O}(3\xi)\otimes\pi^*\mathcal{O}_X(3))$$

vanishes. These facts can summarized in the following

Proposition 5.1. *Let $X\subset\mathbb{P}(V)$ be a smooth cubic fourfold defined by $G=0$ as above. Let F be the variety of lines on X with $p:P\to F$ being the total family of lines and $q:P\to X$ the natural morphism. Then there is a natural closed immersion $P\subset\mathbb{P}(T_X)$ and P is a $(2\xi+3\tilde{h},3\xi+3\tilde{h})$-complete intersection, where ξ is the relative $\mathcal{O}(1)$ class of $\pi:\mathbb{P}(T_X)\to X$ and $\tilde{h}=\pi^*h$ is the pull back of the hyperplane class.*

Let $l\subset X$ be a general line and S_l the surface parameterizing all lines meeting l. The line l itself defines a closed point $[l]\in S_l$. It is known that S_l is smooth. Let $\tilde{S}_l$ be the blow-up of S_l at the point $[l]$. Then there is a morphism $\pi_l:\tilde{S}_l\to l$, $[l']\mapsto l'\cap l$. Then $\tilde{S}_l$ is naturally identified with $q^{-1}(l)$.

Corollary 5.2. *There is a natural closed immersion $\tilde{S}_l\subset\mathbb{P}(T_X|_l)$ such that $\tilde{S}_l$ is a $(2\xi+3f,3\xi+3f)$-complete intersection and $\pi_l=\pi|_{\tilde{S}_l}$, where $f=\pi^*[pt]$.*

To show that $\mathrm{H}_1(S_l,\mathbb{Z})=0$, it suffices to show that $\mathrm{H}_1(S^\circ,\mathbb{Z})=0$, where $S^\circ=S_l-[l]$. The above corollary allows us to prove Lemma 4.5 using some generalized version of Lefschetz Hyperplane Theorem for quasiprojective varieties, see §2.2 of [5].

Proof of Lemma 4.5. Let $Z = \mathbb{P}(T_X|_l)$. Since $l \subset X$ is general, we have $T_X|_l = \mathcal{O}_l(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_l(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_l^2$. This canonically gives

$$Z_1 = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_l(2)) \subset Z_2 = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_l(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_l(1)) \subset Z.$$

Easy computation shows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{H}^0(Z, \mathcal{O}(2\xi + 3f)) &= \mathrm{H}^0(l, \pi_*(\mathcal{O}(2\xi + 3f))) \\ &= \mathrm{H}^0(l, \mathrm{Sym}^2(\Omega_X^1|_l) \otimes \mathcal{O}_l(3)) \\ &= \mathrm{H}^0(l, \mathcal{O}_l(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_l \oplus \mathcal{O}_l(1)^3 \oplus \mathcal{O}_l(2)^2 \oplus \mathcal{O}_l(3)^3) \\ &= \mathbb{C}^{25}. \end{aligned}$$

This means $|2\xi + 3f| \cong \mathbb{P}^{24}$.

Claim 1: The base locus of $|2\xi + 3f|$ is Z_1 and this complete linear system induces an immersion $\varphi : Z - Z_1 = U \to \mathbb{P}^{24}$.

First note that $Z_1 \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ is the section of $\pi : Z \to l$ that corresponds to $\Omega_X^1|_l \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_l(-2)$. This means that $\xi|_{Z_1} = \mathcal{O}(-2)$. Hence $\mathcal{O}(2\xi + 3f)|_{Z_1} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$. Hence Z_1 is contained in the base locus of $|2\xi + 3f|$. Let $z_1, z_2 \in Z - Z_1$ be two arbitrary points. The natural quotient homomorphism

$$\Omega_X^1|_l \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_l^2$$

defines a morphism $pr_{Z_2} : Z - Z_2 \to \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_l^2) = l \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Let $p_2 : l \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be the projection to the second factor. Assume that $\pi(z_1) \neq \pi(z_2)$ and either $z_1, z_2 \notin Z_2$, $p_2 \circ pr_{Z_2}(z_1) \neq p_2 \circ pr_{Z_2}(z_2)$ or one of the z_i's is in Z_2, then we can find a section $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \subset Z$ that corresponds to $\Omega_X^1|_l \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}(1)$, such that C passes through both z_1 and z_2. For such a section, we have $\mathcal{O}(2\xi + 3f)|_C \cong \mathcal{O}(5)$. One checks that the image of the restriction map

$$\mathrm{H}^0(Z, \mathcal{O}(2\xi + 3f)) \to \mathrm{H}^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(5))$$

defines a closed immersion of C. If $z_1, z_2 \notin Z_2$, $\pi(z_1) \neq \pi(z_2)$ and $p_2 \circ pr_{Z_2}(z_1) = p_2 \circ pr_{Z_2}(z_2)$, then we can find a section C that corresponds to $\Omega_X^1|_l \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}$ such that C passes through both z_1 and z_2. In this case $\mathcal{O}(2\xi + 3f)|_C \cong \mathcal{O}(3)$ and the restriction map

$$\mathrm{H}^0(Z, \mathcal{O}(2\xi + 3f)) \to \mathrm{H}^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(3))$$

is surjective. If $z_1, z_2 \in Z_2$, then we can take a section C such that $\xi|_C \cong \mathcal{O}(-1)$. In this case, we again have that

$$\mathrm{H}^0(Z, \mathcal{O}(2\xi + 3f)) \to \mathrm{H}^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(1))$$

is surjective. If z_1, z_2 are in the same fiber, we simply take C to be the line the the fiber connecting them. In this case, if C does not meet Z_1, then the restriction map

$$\mathrm{H}^0(Z, \mathcal{O}(2\xi + 3f)) \to \mathrm{H}^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(2))$$

is surjective. If C meets Z_1 then the image of the above map consists of all sections vanishing at $Z_1 \cap C$. In summary, there is always a rational curve C passing through z_1, z_2 such that the linear system $|2\xi + 3f|$, restricted to C, defines an immersion of $C - Z_1$. This show that the linear system separates points of $Z - Z_1$. By a similar argument, one shows that for any point $z \in Z - Z_1$ and a tangent vector $v \in T_{Z,z}$, there is a curves C such that $z \in C$ and C is tangent to v and furthermore, the linear system defines an immersion of $C - Z_1$. This shows that the linear system separates tangent vectors on $Z - Z_1$.

Claim 2: A general element $Y \in |2\xi + 3f|$ is smooth.

By Bertini's theorem, Y can only have singularities along $Z_1 \subset Y$. But we know that $\tilde{S}_l$ is a smooth $(2\xi + 3f, 3\xi + 3f)$-complete intersection and $Z_1 \subset \tilde{S}_l$. This forces Y to be smooth along Z_1 for the Y appearing in the complete intersection. Hence a general Y is smooth along Z_1.

Claim 3: For a general element $Y \in |2\xi + 3f|$, we have $\mathrm{H}_1(Y, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$.

Let $\tilde{Z} = Bl_{Z_1}(Z)$ be the blow up of Z along Z_1. Then we have a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\sigma_1"] & \tilde{Z} \arrow[d, "\sigma"] \\
Z_1 \arrow[r] & Z
\end{tikzcd}$$

The normal bundle of Z_1 in Z can be described as follows

$$\mathscr{N}_{Z_1/Z} = T_{Z/l}|_{Z_1} = \mathcal{O}_{Z_1}(\xi) \otimes (\pi^* T_X|_l / \mathcal{O}(-\xi))|_{Z_1} \cong \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-2)^2.$$

This implies that $E_1 = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-2)^2)$. Let ξ_1 be the relative $\mathcal{O}(1)$ class of $E_1 = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-2)^2) \to Z_1 = \mathbb{P}^1$ and f_1 the class of a fiber. Then we have

$$(\sigma^*(2\xi + 3f) - E_1)|_{E_1} = \xi_1 - f_1.$$

There is a canonical section $\tilde{Z}_1 = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}(-1)) \subset E_1 = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-2)^2)$ of σ_1. Since $\xi_1|_{\tilde{Z}_1} = \mathcal{O}(1)$, we get $(\xi_1 - f_1)|_{\tilde{Z}_1} = 0$. Using the above argument of restricting $\sigma^*(2\xi + 3f) - E_1$ to rational curves, we see that the complete linear system $|\sigma^*(2\xi + 3f) - E_1|$ defines a morphism

$$\tilde{\varphi} : \tilde{Z} \to \mathbb{P}^{24},$$

such that $\tilde{\varphi}$ is an immersion on $\tilde{Z} - \tilde{Z}_1$ and contracts $\tilde{Z}_1$ to a singular point. As a $\mathbb{P}^3$-bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1$, the variety Z has trivial H_1, i.e. $\mathrm{H}_1(Z, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Since the center of the blow up σ is a rational curve, we have $\mathrm{H}_1(\tilde{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Hence we also have

$$\mathrm{H}_1(U_1, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{H}_1(\tilde{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) = 0,$$

where $U_1 = \tilde{Z} - \tilde{Z}_1$. Let $\tilde{Y}$ be the blow up of Y along Z_1, then $\tilde{Y} \subset U_1$ is a hyperplane section and

$$\mathrm{H}_1(\tilde{Y}, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{H}_1(Y, \mathbb{Z}).$$

By the generalized Lefschetz hyperplane theorem (§2.2 of [5]), we get

$$\mathrm{H}_1(\tilde{Y}, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{H}_1(U_1, \mathbb{Z}) = 0.$$

This proves Claim 3.

The combination of Claim 2 and Claim 3 implies $\mathrm{H}_1(Y^\circ, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, where $Y^\circ = Y - Z_1$. We note that $\mathcal{O}_{Z_1}(3\xi + 3f) = \mathcal{O}(-3)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{Z_2}(3\xi + 3f) = \mathcal{O}_{Z_2}(3Z_1)$. The base locus of $|3\xi + 3f|$ is Z_1 and it defines a morphism $\psi : U = Z - Z_1 \to \mathbb{P}^{37}$ such that $Z_2 - Z_1$ is contracted to a point. Furthermore, $\psi|_{Z - Z_2}$ is an immersion. Let $Y' \in |3\xi + 3f|$ be general, then $\Sigma^\circ = Y^\circ \cap Y'$ is a smooth surface that is homeomorphic to S°. Furthermore, Σ° is a hyperplane section on Y°. Again, by the Lefschetz hyperplane theorem for quasiprojective varieties, we have $\mathrm{H}_1(\Sigma^\circ, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. This implies $\mathrm{H}_1(S^\circ, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, which finishes the proof. $\square$

6. Conic bundles

In this section we study rationally connected fourfolds which admit a conic bundle structure. To be more precise, let X a smooth projective variety of dimension 4. Let $f : X \to B = \mathbb{P}^3$ be a flat dominant morphism. Assume that $X_b \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ for a general point $b \in B$. Such X will be called a *conic bundle* over B. Let $\Delta \subset B$ be the degeneration divisor. It consists of points $b \in B$ such that X_b is either a broken conic or a double line. Let S be the surface parameterizing lines in the degenerate fibers, then there is a natural degree 2 morphism $\pi : S \to \Delta$. This induces an involution $\sigma : S \to S$. For simplicity, we make the following assumptions.

Assumption 6.1. (1) The surface S is smooth and irreducible with $\mathrm{H}_1(S, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$; (2) The involution σ at most finitely many isolated fixed points; (3) The degeneration divisor Δ is of odd degree.

Remark 6.2. Actually we know that σ has at least one fixed point. Otherwise, $\pi : S \to \Delta$ is an étale double cover. But the Lefschetz Hyperplane Theorem implies that Δ is simply connected and does not have étale double covers.

By construction, we have the total family of lines in degenerate fibers given by the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathscr{C} \arrow[r, "q"] \arrow[d, "p"'] & X \\
S &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let $\Phi = p_* q^*$ be the Abel-Jacobi homomorphism and $\Psi = q_* p^*$ be the cylinder homomorphism as in Section 3.3.

To understand the geometry of X and S better, we note that in our case, we can always find a rank 3 vector bundle $\mathscr{E}$ on B such that $i : X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E})$, see [11]. Let $f_1 : \mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E}) \to B$ be the natural projection with $f = f_1 \circ i$. Let $\xi \in \mathrm{Pic}(\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E}))$ be the Chern class of the relative $\mathcal{O}(1)$-bundle. Then the divisor class of X on $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E})$ is equal to $2\xi + f_1^* c_1(\mathscr{L})$ for some line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ on B. Hence X determines, up to a scalar, a global section $s \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E}), f_1^*\mathscr{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E})}(2))$ and X is the vanishing locus of s. The grassmannian $G(2, \mathscr{E})$ can be viewed as the parameter space of lines on $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E})$ and S naturally embeds into $G(2, \mathscr{E})$. Put these together, we get the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathscr{C} \arrow[r, "j'"] \arrow[d, "p"'] & G(1,2,\mathscr{E}) \arrow[r, "h_1"] \arrow[d, "g"] & \mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E}) \arrow[d, "f_1"] \\
S \arrow[r, "j"] & G(2,\mathscr{E}) \arrow[r, "f_2"] & B
\end{tikzcd} \tag{15}$$

On the Grassmannian $G(2, \mathscr{E})$, there is natural rank 2 sub-bundle $\mathcal{V}_2$ of $f_2^*\mathscr{E}$. Let $\xi_1 = h_1^*\xi$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E}), f_1^*\mathscr{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(2\xi)) &\subset \mathrm{H}^0(G(1,2,\mathscr{E}), h^* f_1^*\mathscr{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(2\xi_1)) \\
&= \mathrm{H}^0(G(2,\mathscr{E}), g_*(g^* f_2^*\mathscr{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(2\xi_1))) \\
&= \mathrm{H}^0(G(2,\mathscr{E}), f_2^*\mathscr{L} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathcal{V}_2^*))
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the element s determines a section $s' \in \mathrm{H}^0(G(2,\mathscr{E}), f_2^*\mathscr{L} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^2 \mathcal{V}_2^*)$. Then by defintion, S is simply the vanishing locus of s'. This shows that

$$[S] = c_3(f_2^*\mathscr{L} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^2 \mathcal{V}_2^*)$$

holds in $\mathrm{H}^6(G(2,\mathscr{E}),\mathbb{Z})$. Let $M \subset \mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})$ be the saturation of the image of the restriction homomorphism $\mathrm{H}^2(G(2,\mathscr{E}),\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})$.

Lemma 6.3. *The subgroup M is actually a G-module and* $\mathrm{H}^1(G, M^\perp) = 0$.

Proof. Since $\mathrm{H}^2(G(2,\mathscr{E}),\mathbb{Z})$ is generated by $\mathfrak{a} = c_1(\mathcal{V}_2)$ and f_2^*h, where h is the hyperplane class on B. It is easy to see that $\sigma(h|_S) = h|_S$. Let $\mathcal{V}_2' = j^*\mathcal{V}_2$. Let Δ^0 be the smooth locus of Δ and $S^0 = \pi^{-1}(\Delta^0)$. By sending a point $t \in S^0$ to the singular point of X_b where $b = \pi(t)$, we have a section $\tau : S^0 \to \mathscr{C}$. This section descends to a section $\tau_0 : \Delta^0 \to \mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E})$. The closure $\tilde{S}$ of $\tau(S^0)$ in $\mathscr{C}$ is the blow-up of S at the fixed points of σ; the closure $\tilde{\Delta}$ of $\tau_0(\Delta^0)$ in $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E})$ is the minimal resolution of Δ. On the surface S^0, we have the following short exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathscr{M} \arrow[r] & \mathcal{V}_2' \oplus \sigma^*\mathcal{V}_2' \arrow[r] & \mathscr{E}|_S \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

The projection $\mathscr{M} \to \mathcal{V}_2'$ to the first factor composed with the natural inclusion $\mathcal{V}_2' \to \mathscr{E}|_{S^0}$, defines the section τ. This means that $\tau^*\mathcal{O}(-1) = \mathscr{M}$. Hence we get

$$c_1(\mathcal{V}_2') + \sigma^*c_1(\mathcal{V}_2') = c_1(\mathscr{E}|_S) - \tau^*(\xi|_{\mathscr{C}}) = c_1(\mathscr{E}|_S) - \pi^*\tau_0^*\xi.$$

If we can show that $\tau_0^*\xi$ is a class that comes from $B = \mathbb{P}^3$, then we know that $\sigma^*c_1(\mathcal{V}_2')$ is again an element in M, which shows that M is a G-module. Hence it remains to study the class $\tau_0^*\xi$. Note that the element

$$\begin{aligned} s &\in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E}), f_1^*\mathscr{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(2\xi)) \\ &= \mathrm{H}^0(B, \mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathscr{E}^*) \otimes \mathscr{L}) \\ &\subset \mathrm{H}^0(B, \mathscr{E}^* \otimes \mathscr{E}^* \otimes \mathscr{L}) \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{E}^* \otimes \mathscr{L}) \end{aligned}$$

determines a homomorphism

$$s_0 : \mathscr{E} \to \mathscr{E}^* \otimes \mathscr{L}.$$

Now consider the following composition

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{O}(-\xi) \arrow[r] & f_1^*\mathscr{E} \arrow[r, "f_1^*s_0"] & f_1^*(\mathscr{E}^* \otimes \mathscr{L}), \end{tikzcd}$$

which defines an element $\theta \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E}), \mathcal{O}(1) \otimes f_1^*(\mathscr{E}^* \otimes \mathscr{L}))$. The surface $\tau_0(\Delta^0)$ is defined by the vanishing of θ. On the surface $\tau_0(\Delta^0)$, we have a short exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}(-\xi) \arrow[r] & f_1^*\mathscr{E} \arrow[r] & \mathscr{F} \arrow[r] & 0, \end{tikzcd} \tag{16}$$

where $\mathscr{F}$ is locally free of rank 2 and another short exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathscr{F} \arrow[r] & f_1^*(\mathscr{E}^* \otimes \mathscr{L}) \arrow[r] & \mathscr{L}_1 \arrow[r] & 0, \end{tikzcd} \tag{17}$$

where $\mathscr{L}_1$ is an invertible sheaf on Δ^0. By dualizing the sequence (17), we get

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathscr{L}_1^{-1} \otimes f_1^*\mathscr{L} \arrow[r] & f_1^*\mathscr{E} \arrow[r] & \mathscr{F}^* \otimes f_1^*\mathscr{L} \arrow[r] & 0, \end{tikzcd} \quad \text{on } \tau_0(\Delta_0). \tag{18}$$

Since s is symmetric, we know that the above sequence is essentially the same as (16). This implies that

$$\mathscr{F} \cong \mathscr{F}^* \otimes f_1^*\mathscr{L}, \quad \text{on } \tau_0(\Delta_0).$$

By taking the first Chern classes of both sides, we get $c_1(\mathscr{F}) = f_1^*c_1(\mathscr{L})$. Together with sequence (16), we see that $\xi|_{\tau_0(\Delta^0)}$ is a class that comes from B. Hence $\tau_0^*\xi$ comes from B. If we trace the quantities above, we get $\tau_0^*\xi = (c_1(\mathscr{L}) - c_1(\mathscr{E}))|_{\Delta_0}$. From this identity, we can get

$$c_1(\mathcal{V}_2') + \sigma c_1(\mathcal{V}_2') = (2c_1(\mathscr{E}) - c_1(\mathscr{L}))|_S.$$

We next show that $\mathrm{H}^1(G, M) = \mathrm{H}^1(G, M^\perp) = 0$. To do this, we only need to show $2 \nmid \det(M)$. Indeed, the condition that $2 \nmid \det(M)$ implies that Q_M has no 2-torsion and hence $\mathrm{H}^i(G, Q_M) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. Then the short exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & M \oplus M^\perp \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] & Q_M \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

gives $\mathrm{H}^i(G, M \oplus M^\perp) \cong \mathrm{H}^i(G, \mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z}))$ for all $i > 0$. Since σ has fixed points, by Theorem 2.7, we have $\mathrm{H}^1(G, \mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})) = 0$.

It remains to show that $2 \nmid \det(M)$. To do this, we only need to show that $2 \nmid \det(M_0)$ for some submodule $M_0 \subset M$ of full rank. This is because we have $\det(M_0) = \det(M)|M/M_0|^2$. In our case, we take M_0 to be the $\mathbb{Z}$-linear span of $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\sigma(\mathfrak{a})$, where $\mathfrak{a} = c_1(\mathcal{V}_2')$. We take $\mathfrak{a}_1 = \mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{a}_2 = \mathfrak{a} + \sigma(\mathfrak{a})$. We have already seen that $\mathfrak{a}_2 = 2c_1(\mathscr{E}|_S) - c_1(\mathscr{L}|_S)$. Note that

$$\beta_1|_S \cup \beta_2|_S = 2([\Delta] \cup \beta_1 \cup \beta_2)$$

is even, for all $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathrm{H}^2(B,\mathbb{Z})$. It follows that $(\mathfrak{a}_2)^2$ is even. Let $d_0 = (\mathfrak{a}_1)^2(\mathfrak{a}_2)^2 - (\mathfrak{a}_1 \cup \mathfrak{a}_2)^2$. If we can show that $\mathfrak{a}_1 \cup \mathfrak{a}_2$ is odd, then $2 \nmid d_0$ and hence $d_0 \neq 0$. This would imply that M_0 is of rank 2 and $\det(M_0)$ is odd. There is a natural identification $G(2,\mathscr{E}) \cong G(1,\mathscr{E}^*)$. Let η be the relative $\mathcal{O}(1)$ class of $G(1,\mathscr{E}^*)$, then we have the following short exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{V}_2 \arrow[r] & f_2^*\mathscr{E} \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}(\eta) \arrow[r] & 0. \end{tikzcd}$$

From this we deduce that

$$c_1(\mathcal{V}_2) = f_2^*c_1(\mathscr{E}) - \eta, \quad c_2(\mathcal{V}_2) = f_2^*c_2(\mathscr{E}) - f_2^*c_1(\mathscr{E})\eta + \eta^2.$$

Then the class of S in $\mathrm{H}^6(G(2,\mathscr{E}),\mathbb{Z})$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
[S] &= c_3(f_2^*\mathscr{L} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^2 \mathcal{V}_2^*) \\
&= c_3(\operatorname{Sym}^2 \mathcal{V}_2^*) + c_2(\operatorname{Sym}^2 \mathcal{V}_2^*)f_2^*c_1(\mathscr{L}) + c_1(\operatorname{Sym}^2 \mathcal{V}_2^*)f_2^*c_1(\mathscr{L})^2 + f_2^*c_1(\mathscr{L})^3 \\
&= 4c_1(\mathcal{V}_2^*)c_2(\mathcal{V}_2^*) + (2c_1(\mathcal{V}_2^*) + 4c_2(\mathcal{V}_2^*))f_2^*c_1(\mathscr{L}) \\
&\quad + 3c_1(\mathcal{V}_2^*)f_2^*c_1(\mathscr{L})^2 + f_2^*c_1(\mathscr{L})^3 \\
&\equiv 3(\eta - f_2^*c_1(\mathscr{E}))f_2^*c_1(\mathscr{L})^2 + f_2^*c_1(\mathscr{L})^3, \quad \mod 2
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{a}_1 \cup \mathfrak{a}_2 &= [S] \cup (f_2^* c_1(\mathscr{E}) - \eta) \cup f_2^*(2c_1(\mathscr{E}) - c_1(\mathscr{L}))\\ &\equiv [S] \cup \eta \cup f_2^* c_1(\mathscr{L}), \quad \bmod 2\\ &\equiv 3(\eta - f_2^* c_1(\mathscr{E})) f_2^* c_1(\mathscr{L})^3 \cup \eta, \quad \bmod 2\\ &\equiv \eta^2 \cup f_2^* c_1(\mathscr{L})^3, \quad \bmod 2\\ &\equiv c_1(\mathscr{L})^3, \quad \bmod 2\end{aligned}$$

Note that the class of Δ is given by $[\Delta] = -2c_1(\mathscr{E}) + 3c_1(\mathscr{L})$. The fact that $\deg(\Delta)$ is odd implies that $c_1(\mathscr{L})$ is odd. Hence we conclude that $\mathfrak{a}_1 \cup \mathfrak{a}_2$ is odd. □

Corollary 6.4. *The module M is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ as a G-module.*

Proof. The above proof shows that $\mathrm{H}^1(G, M) = 0$, which implies that as G-modules we have either $M \cong \mathbb{Z}[G]$ or $M \cong (\mathbb{Z}_+)^2$. But the fact $d_0 \neq 0$ implies that $\sigma(\mathfrak{a}) \neq \mathfrak{a}$. Hence M is not isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}_+)^2$. □

As in Section 4, we use $N \subset \mathrm{H}^4(X, \mathbb{Z})$ to denote the saturation of $\mathrm{Sym}^2 \mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$. The following lemma assures that $\Phi(N^\perp) \subset M^\perp$ and $\Psi(M^\perp) \subset N^\perp$. Note that $N^\perp = \mathrm{H}^4(X, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{prim}}$ by definition.

Lemma 6.5. *The modules M and N are related by $\Psi(M) \subset N$ and $\Phi(N) \subset M$.*

Proof. The inclusion $\Phi(N) \subset M$ is quite straight forward since

$$N \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \mathrm{Im}\{\mathrm{H}^4(\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E}), \mathbb{Q}) \to \mathrm{H}^4(X, \mathbb{Q})\}.$$

For the inclusion $\Psi(M) \subset N$, the only non-trivial part is $\Psi(c_1(\mathcal{V}_2)|_S) \in N$. On the total space $\mathscr{C}$, we have the exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{C}} \arrow[r] & p^*(j^*\mathcal{V}_2) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{C}}(\xi) \arrow[r] & T_{\mathscr{C}/S} \arrow[r] & 0, \end{tikzcd}$$

which shows that $\Psi(j^* c_1(\mathcal{V}_2)) \in N$ is equivalent to that $q_* c_1(T_{\mathscr{C}/S}) \in N$. The surface S is naturally a double cover of Δ and we get $K_S = \pi^* K_\Delta = (d-4)\pi^*(h|_\Delta)$, where $d = \deg(\Delta)$ and h is the class of a hyperplane of $\mathbb{P}^3$. Hence we get $c_1(K_{\mathscr{C}}) = c_1(T_{\mathscr{C}/S}) + p^*\pi^*(d-4)(h|_\Delta)$, from which we conclude that $q_* c_1(T_{\mathscr{C}/S}) \in N$ is equivalent to $q_* K_{\mathscr{C}} \in N$. By the relation

$$c_1(\mathscr{N}_{\mathscr{C}/X}) - K_{\mathscr{C}} = -q^* K_X,$$

we see that it suffices to show $q_* c_1(\mathscr{N}_{\mathscr{C}/X}) \in N$. The following fact is standard. If we have two rational curves C_1 and C_2 on a surface Y such that they meet transversally in a point y, then $\mathscr{N}_{C/Y}|_{C_1} \cong \mathscr{N}_{C_1/Y}(y)$, where $C = C_1 \cup C_2$. If we globalize this and apply to the family $\mathscr{C}/S$, we get $\mathscr{N}_{\mathscr{C}/X} \cong p^*\pi^*\mathcal{O}_\Delta(dh) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{C}}(-\tau(S))$. Thus we only need to show $q_*[\tau(S)] \in N$. Note that $q_*[\tau(S)] = 2[\tilde{\Delta}]$, where $\tilde{\Delta}$ is the locus of singular points in the fibers of $f : X \to B$. So every thing is reduced to showing $[\tilde{\Delta}] \in N$. In the proof of the previous lemma, we showed that $\tilde{\Delta}$ is defined by the vanishing of $\theta \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E}), \mathcal{O}(1) \otimes f_1^*(\mathscr{E}^* \otimes \mathscr{L}))$. We can view θ as a homomorphism

$$\theta : f_1^*\mathscr{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-\xi) \to \mathscr{L}.$$

let $\eta : \mathcal{O}(-2\xi) = \mathcal{O}(-\xi) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-\xi) \to f_1^*\mathscr{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-\xi)$ be the natural homomorphism induced by $\mathcal{O}(-\xi) \to f_1^*\mathscr{E}$. Then X is defined by the vanishing of $\theta \circ \eta$. Let $\mathscr{Q}$ be the quotient of $\mathcal{O}(-\xi) \to f_1^*\mathscr{E}$. Then on X, since $\theta \circ \eta = 0$, we have an induced homomorphism

$$\theta' : \mathscr{Q} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-\xi) \to f^*\mathscr{L},$$

whose vanishing defines the class $[\tilde{\Delta}]$ on X. Hence

$$[\tilde{\Delta}] = c_2(\mathscr{Q}^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(\xi) \otimes f_1^*\mathscr{L})|_X$$

is a class coming from $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E})$ and hence is in N. $\square$

Lemma 6.6. *The identity* $\Psi \circ \Phi = -2$ *holds as a homomorphism* $\mathrm{H}^4(X, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{prim}} \to \mathrm{H}^4(X, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{prim}}$ *and also as a homomorphism* $\mathrm{A}_1(X) \to \mathrm{A}_1(X)$.

Proof. Let $f_0 : \Gamma \to X$ be either a continuous map from real 4-dimensional topological manifold to X or a morphism from an algebraic curve to X. For each point $t \in \Gamma$, the lines in $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E})$ passing through $x = f_0(t)$ is parameterized by $\mathbb{P}(T_{\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E})/B,x})$. This shows that here is a map/morphism $j_0 : \Sigma = \mathbb{P}(\mathscr{F}_0) \to G(2, \mathscr{E})$, where $\mathscr{F}_0 = f_0^* T_{\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E})/B}$. This gives rise to the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
D_1 \cup D_2 \arrow[r, "j_2"] \arrow[d] & X' \arrow[r, "h_2"] \arrow[d, "i_1"] & X \arrow[d, "i"] \\
P \arrow[r, "j_1"] \arrow[d, "g'"] & G(1,2,\mathscr{E}) \arrow[r, "h_1"] \arrow[d, "g"] & \mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E}) \\
\Sigma \arrow[r, "j_0"] & G(2,\mathscr{E}) &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{19}$$

where all the squares are fiber products. Each line on $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E})$ meets X in two points; D_2 corresponds to the point on $f_0(\Gamma)$ and D_1 corresponds to the remaining point. Let $\varphi_i : D_i \to X$, $i = 1, 2$, be the natural maps. To make the exposition simpler, all the following identities will be understood to be modulo homological equivalence when Γ is a topological cycle and modulo rational equivalence when Γ is an algebraic cycle. By definition, we easily see that $(\varphi_1)_*[D_1] = \pi^*\pi_*[\Gamma]$ and

$$(\varphi_1)_*(\xi|_{D_1}) = (\varphi_1)_*[D_1] \cdot \xi = (\pi^*\pi_*[D_1]) \cdot \xi.$$

Let $\rho_i : D_i \to \Sigma$, $i = 1, 2$, be the natural maps, then ρ_2 is an isomorphism while ρ_1 is isomorphism way from points $t \in \Sigma$ such that the corresponding line L_t is contained in X. If L_t is contained in X, then $\rho_1^{-1}(t) = L_t$. Let $E \subset D_1$ be the exceptional loci of the map ρ_1. Since the restriction of $\xi|_{D_1} + [E]$ to each E_t is trivial, we conclude that

$$\xi|_{D_1} + E = \rho_1^* \mathfrak{b}, \tag{20}$$

for some cycle class $\mathfrak{b}$ from Σ. It follows that $\mathfrak{b} = (\rho_1)_*(\xi|_{D_1})$. As classes on Σ, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\rho_1)_*(\xi|_{D_1}) + (\rho_2)_*(\xi|_{D_2}) &= g'_* j_1^* h_1^*([X] \cdot \xi) \\
&= g'_*(2\xi^2 + c_1(\mathscr{L}) \cdot \xi) \\
&= 2g'_*(\xi|_P)^2 + c_1(\mathscr{L})|_\Sigma.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $(\rho_2)_*(\xi|_{D_2}) = \nu^*(\xi|_\Gamma)$, where $\nu : \Sigma = \mathbb{P}(\mathscr{F}_0) \to \Gamma$ is natural map. On $P = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}_2|_\Sigma)$, we have the following identity

$$(\xi|_P)^2 + g'^* c_1(\mathcal{V}_2|_\Sigma)(\xi|_P) + g'^* c_2(\mathcal{V}_2|_\Sigma) = 0,$$

which implies that $g'_*(\xi|_P)^2 = -c_1(\mathcal{V}_2|_\Sigma)$. On Σ, we have the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & Q_\lambda \arrow[r, equal] & Q_\lambda & \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}_\Sigma \arrow[r] & \nu^*(\mathscr{E}|_\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Gamma(\xi)) \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & \nu^*\mathscr{F}_0 \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}_\Sigma \arrow[u, equal] \arrow[r] & \mathcal{V}_2|_\Sigma \otimes \nu^*\mathcal{O}_\Gamma(\xi) \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}(-\lambda) \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

where λ is the class of the relative $\mathcal{O}(1)$-bundle of $\Sigma = \mathbb{P}(\mathscr{F}_0) \to \Gamma$. The last row gives

$$c_1(\mathcal{V}_2|_\Sigma) + 2\nu^*(\xi|_\Gamma) + \lambda = 0.$$

Combine the above identities, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{b} &= (\rho_1)_*(\xi|_{D_1}) \\
&= 2g'_*(\xi|_P)^2 + c_1(\mathscr{L})|_\Sigma - (\rho_2)_*(\xi|_{D_2}) \\
&= -2c_1(\mathcal{V}_2|_\Sigma) + c_1(\mathscr{L})|_\Sigma - \nu^*(\xi|_\Gamma) \\
&= 2\lambda + 3\nu^*(\xi|_\Gamma) + c_1(\mathscr{L})|_\Sigma.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that if we write $\gamma = (f_0)_*[\Gamma]$, then $\Psi \circ \Phi(\gamma) = (\varphi_1)_*[E]$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi \circ \Phi(\gamma) &= \varphi_{1*}\rho_1^*\mathfrak{b} - \varphi_{1*}(\xi|_{D_1}) \\
&= (\varphi_{1*}\rho_1^*\mathfrak{b} + \varphi_{2*}\rho_2^*\mathfrak{b}) - \varphi_{2*}\rho_2^*\mathfrak{b} - \varphi_{1*}(\xi|_{D_1}) \\
&= i^*h_{1*}j_{1*}g'^*\mathfrak{b} - \varphi_{2*}\rho_2^*\mathfrak{b} - \varphi_{1*}(\xi|_{D_1}) \\
&= -\varphi_{2*}\rho_2^*\mathfrak{b}, \quad \text{mod classes from } \mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E}) \\
&= -\varphi_{2*}\rho_2^*(2\lambda), \quad \text{mod classes from } \mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E}) \\
&= -2\gamma.
\end{aligned}$$

In other words, $\Psi \circ \Phi(\gamma) + 2\gamma$ is always a class from $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E})$. By linearity, this is true for any cycle class γ in $\mathrm{H}^4(X, \mathbb{Z})$ or $\mathrm{A}_1(X)$. Note that $\mathrm{H}^2(\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E}), \mathbb{Q}) \to \mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ and $\mathrm{H}^4(\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E}), \mathbb{Q}) \to N \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ are all isomorphisms. Let $\gamma \in \mathrm{H}^4(X, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{prim}}$, then $\Psi \circ \Phi(\gamma) + 2\gamma$ is again in $\mathrm{H}^4(X, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{prim}}$, this forces it to be zero. Hence $\Psi \circ \Phi(\gamma) = -2\gamma$. Similarly, we have $\Psi \circ \Phi(\gamma) = -2\gamma$ for all $\gamma \in \mathrm{A}_1(X)$. □

Lemma 6.7. *The identity $\Phi \circ \Psi = \sigma - 1$ holds as an endomorphism of $M^\perp$ and also as an endomorphism of $\mathrm{A}_0(S)$.*

Proof. The total space $\mathscr{C}$ can be viewed as an element in $\mathrm{CH}_3(S \times X)$. Let $\mathscr{C}^t \subset X \times S$ be the transpose of $\mathscr{C}$. Then $\Phi \circ \Psi$ is induced by $p_{13*}(p_{12}^*\mathscr{C} \cdot p_{23}^*\mathscr{C}^t) \in \mathrm{CH}_2(S \times S)$. The cycle $p_{12}^*\mathscr{C}$ is represented by

$$C_1 = \{([l], x, [l']) \in S \times X \times S : x \in l\}$$

and $p_{23}^*\mathscr{C}^t$ is represented by

$$C_2 = \{([l], x, [l']) \in S \times X \times S : x \in l'\}.$$

Note that $C_1 \cap C_2 = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$, where

$$\Gamma_1 = \{([l], x, \sigma([l])) : x \subset l \cup \sigma(l)\}, \quad \Gamma_2 = \{([l], x, [l]) : x \in l\}.$$

The intersection $C_1 \cdot C_2 = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2$ where $\gamma_1 = [\Gamma_1]$ and $\gamma_2 \in \mathrm{CH}_2(\Gamma_2)$. Hence

$$p_{13*}(C_1 \cdot C_2) = \Gamma_\sigma + a\Delta_S,$$

for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, where Γ_σ is the graph of σ. Pick a general point $[l] \in S$, then one easily shows that $\Phi \circ \Phi([l]) = \sigma([l]) - [l]$ and thus $a = -1$. Hence we have $\Phi \circ \Psi = \sigma - 1$. □

By the above lemmas and Theorem 3.13, we easily get the following theorem.

Theorem 6.8. *Let $f : X \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E}) \to B = \mathbb{P}^3$ be a standard conic bundle with the associated double cover $\pi : S \to \Delta$ that satisfies Assumption 6.1. Let $\mathscr{C} \subset S \times X$ be the total space of lines with the natural projections $p : \mathscr{C} \to S$ and $q : \mathscr{C} \to X$. Let $j : S \hookrightarrow G(2, \mathscr{E})$ be the natural inclusion and $M \subset \mathrm{H}^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$ be the saturation of the image of $j^* : \mathrm{H}^2(G(2, \mathscr{E}), \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{H}^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$. Let $\Phi = p_* q^*$ be the Abel-Jacobi homomorphism and $\Psi = q_* p^*$ be the cylinder homomorphism as above. Then the following are true.*

(i) The Abel-Jacobi homomorphism induces an isomorphism

$$\Phi : \mathrm{H}^4(X, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{prim}} \to \mathrm{Pr}(M^\perp, \sigma)(-1),$$

which is compatible with the bilinear forms, namely

$$\langle \Phi(x), \Phi(y) \rangle = -(x \cdot y)_X, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathrm{H}^4(X, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{prim}}.$$

(ii) There is a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathrm{A}_1(X) \cong \mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{A}_0(S), \sigma) \oplus (\textit{2-torsion}),$$

such that $\Phi : \mathrm{A}_1(X) \to \mathrm{A}_0(S)$ is identified with the projection to the first factor.

(iii) There is a short exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & K \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Br}_2(X) \arrow[r, "\Phi"] & \mathrm{Br}(S) \arrow[r] & 0, \end{tikzcd}$$

where $K = \mathrm{H}^1(G, T^2(S))$.

Proof. To apply Theorem 3.13 in (iii), we only need to show that $2 \nmid \det(N)$. Since $\det(N) = \det(N^\perp)$ and $N^\perp = \mathrm{H}^4(X, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{prim}} \cong P = \mathrm{Pr}(M^\perp, \sigma)$, we only need to show that $\det(P)$ is not divisible by 2. By Proposition 3.4, we have $\det(P) = \pm 2 \frac{q^2}{q'}$, where $q = |(Q_M)^{\sigma = -1}|$ and $q' = \det(M^{\sigma=-1})$. By assumption q is not divisible by 2. Hence we only need to show that 2 divides q'. Since $M \cong \mathbb{Z}[G]$, we can find $x \in M$ such that $M^{\sigma=-1} = \mathbb{Z}(\sigma(x) - x)$. Hence $q' = (\sigma(x) - x)^2 = 2(x^2 - x \cdot \sigma(x))$, which is divisible by 2. □

Remark 6.9. (a) It is quite likely that the assumption $\mathrm{H}_1(S, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ holds automatically. If $\mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathcal{V}_2^*) \otimes f_2^* \mathscr{L}$ were an ample vector bundle on $G(2, \mathscr{E})$, then $\mathrm{H}_1(S, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ by a theorem of Sommese [15] since S is the vanishing of a section of an ample vector bundle. Unfortunately, in our case the vector bundle $\mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathcal{V}_2^*) \otimes f_2^* \mathscr{L}$ is never ample. However, we still expect that $\mathrm{H}_1(S, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ holds true. (b) If X is very general such that $\mathrm{Pic}(S) = M$, then $K = 0$ and $\Phi : \mathrm{Br}_2(X) \to \mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{Br}(S), \sigma)$ is an isomorphism. It would be very interesting to see if the group K is always trivial or not.

REFERENCES

[1] A. Altman and S. Kleiman, *Foundations of the theory of Fano schemes*, Compositio Mathematica, Tome **34**, $n^\circ 1$ (1977), p. 3–47.

[2] Clemens and P. Griffiths, *The intermediate Jacobian of the cubic threefold*, Ann. of Math. (2) **95** (1972), 281–356.

[3] A. Beauville, *Variétés de Prym et jacobiennes intermédiaires*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4)**10** (1977), no. 3, 309–391.

[4] A. Beauville and R. Donagi, *La variété des droites d'une hypersurface cubique de dimension 4*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sr. I Math. **301** (1985), no. 14, 703–706.

[5] M. Goresky and R. MacPherson, *Stratified Morse Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1988

[6] A. Grothendieck, *Sur quelques points d'algébre homologique*, Tôhoku Math. J. (2) **9**, 1957, pp.119–221.

[7] D. Mumford, *Prym varieties, I.*, in *Contributions to analysis (a collection of papers dedicated to Lipman Bers)*, pp. 325–350. Academic Press, New York, 1974.

[8] J.P. Murre, *Algebraic equivalence modulo rational equivalence on a cubic threefold*, Compositio Math. **25** (1972), 161–206.

[9] J.P. Murre, *Reduction of the proof of the non-rationality of a non-singular cubic threefold to a result of Mumford*, Compositio Math. **27** (1973), 63–82.

[10] A.A. Roitman, *The torsion of the group of 0-cycles modulo rational equivalence*, Ann. of Math. (2) **111** (1980), no. 3, 553–569.

[11] V.G. Sarkisov, *On conic bundle structures*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **46**(1982), no. 2, 371–408.

[12] M. Shen, *On relations among 1-cycles on cubic hypersurfaces*, preprint 2011.

[13] M. Shen, *Prym-Tjurin constructions on cubic hypersurfaces*, preprint 2011.

[14] M. Shen, *Hyperkähler manifolds of Jacobian type*, preprint 2012.

[15] A. Sommese, *Submanifolds of abelian varieties to Rebecca*, Math. Ann. **233** (1978), 229–256.

[16] C. Voisin, *Théorème de Torelli pour les cubiques de* $\mathbb{P}^5$, Invent. Math. **86** (1986), no. 3, 577–601.

[17] E. C. Zeeman, *Dihomology. III. A generalization of the Poincaré duality for manifolds*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **13**, 1963, pp.155–183.

DPMMS, University of Cambridge, Wilberforce Road, Cambridge CB3 0WB, UK
E-mail address: `M.Shen@dpmms.cam.ac.uk`